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KEY TERMS AND ACRONYMS

Access Management  Measures regulating access to streets, roads, and highways from public streets or roads and private driveways. Measures may include, but are not limited to, restrictions on the siting of interchanges; restrictions on the type and amount of access to roadways; and the use of physical controls, such as signals and channelization to reduce impact of approaching traffic on the main facility.

ADA: Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990  Federal legislation requiring that public facilities and commercial buildings have doorways, corridors, accessways, elevators, seating, and other facilities that are accessible to the handicapped population.

ADT  Average Daily Traffic volumes

APM  Analysis Procedures Manual

Average Daily Traffic (ADT)  The annual average two-way traffic volume. It represents the total traffic for the year divided by 365.

Bikeway  A bikeway is created when a road has the appropriate design treatment for bicyclists, based on motor vehicle traffic volumes and speeds: shared roadway, shoulder bikeway, bike lane or bicycle boulevard. Another type of facility is separated from the roadway: multi-use path.

Bike Lane  A portion of the roadway which has been designated by striping and pavement markings for the preferential or exclusive use of bicyclists.

Commercial Center (CC)  Commercial Centers are encouraged to locate in a community that is the population center for the region and where the majority of the average daily trips to the center originate. Generally these centers have 400,000 square feet of gross leasable area or public buildings. These centers are intended for commercial or mixed commercial, retail and office activities. They may include public uses. The buildings are clustered with consolidated access to the state highway rather than developed along the highway with multiple accesses. Multi-family residential uses may be located within or adjacent to a center. Major metropolitan areas may have multiple Commercial Centers. The primary objective of the state highway adjacent to a Commercial Center is to maintain through traffic mobility in accordance with its function. Commercial Centers include a high level of regional accessibility and connections to the local road network. The Commercial Center accommodates pedestrian and bicycle access and circulation and, where appropriate, transit movements.
Comprehensive Plan  A local document that guides a community’s land use, conservation of natural resources, economic development, and public services. Plans contain data and information called the inventory, and the policy element. The policy element sets forth the community’s long-range objectives and the policies by which they will be achieved. The plan is adopted by ordinance and has the force of law.

Demand Management:  Actions which are designed to change travel behavior in order to improve performance of transportation facilities and to reduce need for additional road capacity. Methods may include, but are not limited to, land use changes to bring destinations closer, walking, biking, transit, ridesharing and vanpool programs, and trip reduction ordinances.

DLCD  Department of Land Conservation and Development, the State of Oregon’s land use planning agency.

Highway Segment Designations

Highway segment designations are a way of describing segments of Oregon’s highway system that have, or are encouraged to have, more compact adjacent development patterns. Highway segment designations may generally be located within urban growth boundaries and urban unincorporated communities on District, Regional or Statewide Highways that are not on Interstate Highways or Expressways. Highway segment designations may change the applicable Oregon Department of Transportation design standards, mobility standards and access management spacing standards within the segment. Specific highway segment designations include Special Transportation Areas (STAs), Urban Business Areas (UBAs), and Commercial Centers (CCs).

Intermodal  Connecting individual modes of transportation and/or accommodating transfers between such modes.

LOS  Level of Service, a qualitative measure of the effect of a number of factors on transportation service including speed and travel time, traffic interruptions, freedom of movement, safety, driving comfort, and convenience. LOS is typically expressed using letter grades from A through F.

Mobility  Being able to move easily from place to place.

Modes of Transportation  Mass transit, air, water, pipeline, rail, highways, bicycle, pedestrian types of travel and transport. The terms “modes,” “mode connectivity,” and intermodal refer to these types of travel.

MPO  Metropolitan Planning Organization

Multimodal  Involving several modes of transportation.
KEY TERMS AND ACRONYMS (CONTINUED)

Paratransit
A general term for various types of transit service which differ (in one or more ways) from the standard fixed-route, large-bus service usually provided by transit agencies. Examples include demand-response and contracted fixed route service, among others. Paratransit services usually use smaller vehicles, such as vans, taxicabs, or small buses.

Public Transit
Bus, van, light rail and other surface transportation systems open to the general public which operate frequently and on predetermined routes and schedules.

OAR
Oregon Administrative Rules. A body of law that describes how legislation and other laws will be implemented.

ODOT
Oregon Department of Transportation

OHP
Oregon Highway Plan

RPZ
Runway Protection Zone

Rural
Any area not included in a business, industrial, or residential zone of moderate or high density, whether or not it is within the boundaries of a municipality. Also may refer to any area outside of an Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).

SAFETEA-LU
Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (2005), authorizing legislation for federal funding of transportation system improvements.

Shared Roadway Bikeway
A type of bikeway where bicyclists and motor vehicles share a travel lane.

Shoulder Bikeway
A type of bikeway where bicyclists travel on a paved shoulder.

SPIS
Safety Priority Index System

Special Transportation Area (STA)
A designated district of compact development located on a state highway within an urban growth boundary in which the need for appropriate local access outweighs the considerations of highway mobility except on designated OHP Freight Routes where through highway mobility has greater importance. While traffic moves through an STA and automobiles may play an important role in accessing an STA, convenience of movement within an STA is focused upon pedestrian, bicycle and transit modes. STAs look like traditional “Main Streets” and are generally located on both sides of a state highway. The primary objective of an STA is to provide access to and circulation amongst community activities, businesses and residences and to accommodate pedestrian, bicycle and transit movement along and across the highway. Direct street connections and shared on-street parking are encouraged. Local auto, pedestrian, bicycle and transit movements to the area are generally as important as the through movement of traffic. Traffic speeds are slow, generally 25 miles per hour or lower.
TAZ  Traffic Analysis Zone, a relatively homogeneous geographic area for which demographic, socio-economic and land use data is aggregated for use in travel demand forecasting.

TGM  Transportation and Growth Management, a joint program of the Oregon Department of Transportation and the Department of Land Conservation and Development to support integrated planning for transportation and land use.

Transportation Disadvantaged  Individuals who have difficulty in obtaining transportation because of their age, income, physical or mental disability. (Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 12)

TPR  The Transportation Planning Rule contained in Oregon’s Administrative Rule, Chapter 660, Division 12, which implements the statewide planning Goal 12: Transportation.

Urban Business Area (UBA)  An Urban Business Area is a highway segment designation that may be applied to existing areas of commercial activity or future nodes or various types of centers of commercial activity within urban growth boundaries or urban unincorporated community boundaries on District, Regional or Statewide Highways where vehicular accessibility is important to continued economic viability. Highways that have posted speeds of 35 miles per hour or less are permitted access spacing standards that reflect the dual objectives of providing local access to meet the needs of abutting properties while maintaining existing speeds to move through traffic. For highways posted greater than 35 miles per hour, the UBA designation is available as recognition that vehicular accessibility and circulation are often as important as pedestrian, bicycle and transit accessibility, but a management plan is required to ensure that these objectives are balanced. Safe and regular street connections are encouraged. Transit turnouts, sidewalks and bicycle lanes are accommodated.

UGB  Urban Growth Boundary. A line drawn around a geographic area which separates urban use lands from resource, or rural, use lands; and shows where the City intends to grow.

Urban  Any territory within an incorporated area or with frontage on a highway which is at least 50 percent built-up with structures devoted to business, industry, or residences for a distance of a quarter mile or more. Also can refer to any area within an Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).

V/C  Volume-to-Capacity (ratio)

VMT  Vehicle Miles of Travel
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN

The Independence Transportation System Plan (TSP) establishes the City’s goals, policies and action strategies for developing and improving the transportation system within the Independence Urban Growth Boundary. The Independence TSP outlines a twenty-year plan to guide transportation improvements and to enhance general mobility throughout this city of over 7,000 residents. The TSP is intended to serve as a blueprint or master plan to guide transportation decisions to address both short and long term needs over the coming decades.

1.2 DEVELOPMENT OF THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN

The 2007 update of the Independence TSP began with an assessment of existing land use and elements of the transportation system, and included a review of the relevant City, county, state, and federal plans and policies. Transportation issues and community concerns were identified by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and were supplemented by discussions with local school district officials, fire and emergency service responders, and police. Two public meetings were conducted to provide Independence citizens opportunities to comment on community transportation concerns and goals with respect to the City’s future transportation system. The primary focus of the first public meeting was on the existing and potential future development patterns and transportation issues. The primary focus of the second public meeting was on the transportation projects necessary to address future transportation needs.

An inventory of the existing transportation system was conducted to develop an understanding of the physical, operational, traffic safety, and travel characteristics of all of the major roadways and the existing bicycle and pedestrian systems in the study area, as well as characteristics of the existing public transportation system.

The TSP addresses transportation issues and needs for the entire Independence urban growth boundary (UGB) and includes both incorporated and unincorporated areas. Development of the existing roadway system in the Independence urban area has been significantly influenced by surrounding topographical constraints. Furthermore, the state highway system comprises the only major arterial street serving the urban area. Within the study area, roadways are classified as arterial, collector, or local.

1.3 COMMUNITY DESCRIPTION AND HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

The City of Independence is located in Polk County, in the central part of the Willamette Valley. Independence is located on relatively flat terrace and floodplain areas between the Forks of the Ash Creek and the Willamette River. The City is located on the west bank of the Willamette River and immediately east of Monmouth, Oregon. Salem, the capital of Oregon, is located about 10 miles to the northeast of Independence.

The town was named after Independence, Missouri, and was established on a Donation Land Claim in 1846. A brief summary of Independence’s history (Morrison and Pinger, 1985), with a focus on the role and type of transportation, illustrates that the location and prosperity of the town owes much to its function as a central shipping point for the Willamette Valley. This functional history is reflected in the transportation facilities currently present in Independence and illustrates the importance of an efficient transportation system to a community. The change in dominant transportation modes over time is also illustrated by Independence’s experience.
E.A. Thorp platted “Old Town” on his Donation Land Claim located north of Ash Creek. A large flood on the Willamette River in 1861 devastated the town and encouraged development of a second core area south of Ash Creek. Henry Hill platted this portion of Independence in 1867. In 1885, “Old Town” and “New Town” were incorporated. Although the “towns” were laid out on similar grid patterns, streets north and south of Ash Creek are slightly misaligned due to a bend in the Willamette River which causes the plats to be offset.

In its early years, Independence served as an important central shipping point on the Willamette River. During this time the river was used to transport foodstuffs and materials out of the Willamette Valley to gold miners in California. Goods were taken from warehouses and docks in Independence, located at River Mile 95.5 (upstream of the confluence with the Columbia River) and shipped by steamboat to Portland. A ferry across the Willamette River was built at Independence in 1885.

The railroad first came to Independence in 1886 and rail freight gradually replaced commercial shipping on the river. The City Council gave the Oregon-Western Railroad right-of-way located in the middle of 2nd Street in 1879 and daily rail service to Portland and points south was soon available. These tracks are still used today, though under a different operator. Connecting stage service to Dallas, Salem, Airlie, and King’s Valley existed.

Eventually, two other railroads were built through Independence. One line extended into Monmouth and the stop at a racetrack in the little community of Talmadge was a popular feature. This line was discontinued in 1917 and Oregon 51 was built on the route. The Valley & Siletz Railroad was operating by 1918. This line was originally constructed to haul timber salvaged from a burned area in the Coast Range to mills and other shipping points. Later, the line transported passengers and hops, as well as lumber. The railroad shipped logs to as many as three mills in Independence before it was discontinued in 1982 or 1983.

The advent of the automobile signified another change in the dominant transportation mode which continues to make its mark on Independence. In 1907, a doctor became the first car owner in town. The Oregon “Good Road Movement” promoted improvements to the existing dirt roads in the Willamette Valley and six streets in Independence were paved by 1912. A bridge over Ash Creek was widened to accommodate cars in 1909 and updated again in 1915.

Historically, the agriculture and forest products industries have been the mainstays of the local economy. From the 1890s through the 1940s the economy of Independence was dominated by a particular commodity - hops. The transportation system had to accommodate the annual shipment of thousands of hop bales and the conveyance of up to 25,000 hop pickers in and out of the area during the late summer months. Hops no longer dominate local agricultural production, but they helped to build a prosperous central business district in Independence. This cluster of brick buildings, as well as other structures, constitutes Independence’s Historical District.

The only bridge spanning the Willamette River between Salem and Albany (a distance of about 22 miles), is located at Independence. This bridge was built in 1947 and connects to South River Road in Marion County. South River Road runs along the east side of the Willamette River into Salem. Buena Vista ferry crosses the Willamette River and is located about 5 miles south of Independence at about River Mile 106.3.

Local air and public transit travel modes are relative latecomers to Independence. The journey over the Oregon Trail was reenacted as a part of the Oregon Centennial celebration in 1959. The ceremonial trek began in Independence, Missouri and ended in Independence, Oregon. The airport was built to provide air travel services for this celebration. The Independence State Airport was officially dedicated in 1964. A public bus system operated between Independence, Monmouth, Dallas, and Salem in the 1970s.
2. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN DEVELOPMENT

This chapter provides an overview of the planning process undertaken to prepare the Independence Transportation System Plan. Included in the chapter are the following:

- **A review and evaluation of existing plans, policies, standards and laws** that are relevant to the development of a transportation plan for the Independence UGB. This review was intended to ensure that the City’s TSP reflects and is consistent with state transportation planning policies and standards, and is coordinated with the plans of Polk County and the City of Monmouth. In this chapter, transportation planning requirements as articulated by the State of Oregon’s Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) and other statewide transportation planning documents and programs are first summarized, followed by an overview of existing transportation plans and policies from the County and its cities.

- **An examination of existing land uses** to identify current development patterns that influence the use of today’s transportation system and lay the foundation for future community growth.

- **An analysis of the existing transportation system** including an inventory and evaluation to identify opportunities and constraints and to provide the basis for developing improvement recommendations. The transportation system inventory and assessment includes:
  - Existing street characteristics, including physical features, traffic control and current traffic operations, with primary emphasis on the arterial and collector street systems
  - Bicycle and pedestrian facilities
  - Public transportation focused on local fixed route and paratransit bus service
  - Air transportation
  - Rail transportation
  - Freight transportation systems including trucking, pipeline and water transportation (there is no water-based transportation in Independence)

- **Future projections, development and evaluation of alternatives**, including the identification of traffic implications related to future community development, and an assessment of options for improving the transportation system to accommodate anticipated traffic growth.

2.1 REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF EXISTING PLANS, POLICIES, STANDARDS, AND LAWS

The purpose of this section is to identify and review existing plans, policies and programs that were considered in the preparation of the Independence TSP. A variety of transportation studies, transportation plans, and other transportation-related documents have been produced in the past. The relevance of each of these documents in relation to the preparation of the Independence TSP varies widely. This chapter provides a synopsis of the following documents:

- Federal Americans with Disabilities Act;
- Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR);
- Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP) including all state modal plans;
- Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) regarding access management;
Freight Moves the Oregon Economy Report;
Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) 2006-2009;
Polk County Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element;
Polk County Transportation System Plan;
Monmouth Transportation System Plan;
Independence Comprehensive Plan;
Independence State Airport, Airport Layout Plan Report;
Independence-Monmouth Comprehensive Master Bicycle Plan;
Ash Creek Trail Master Plan;
Independence Parks and Open Space Master Plan;
Independence Goals And Objectives; and
Independence Strategic Plan.

**Federal Americans with Disabilities Act**

The Federal Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and its implementing regulations lay out guidance for the development of pedestrian facilities within public rights-of-way that are “readily accessible to and usable by people who have disabilities.” These regulations apply to all facilities constructed or altered after January 26, 1992, and include sidewalks, street crossings and other elements of the public rights-of-way. The technical provisions of the regulations describe the characteristics of an accessible element, such as the slope of a curb ramp, the turning space required at a landing, mounting heights for operating hardware (such as pedestrian push buttons for a signal), and other features.

In November 2005, the federal Access Board issued new guidelines for public rights-of-way that will address accessibility issues in greater detail than previous guidance. Included are such issues as access for blind pedestrians at street crossings, wheelchair access to on-street parking, and various constraints posed by space limitations, roadway design practices, slope, and terrain. The new guidelines will cover pedestrian access to sidewalks and streets, including crosswalks, curb ramps, street furnishings, and pedestrian signals (including provision for disabled pedestrian crossings at roundabouts, parking, and other components of public rights-of-way). The Access Board developed these draft guidelines based on recommendations from an advisory committee it had chartered. The Public Rights-of-Way Access Advisory Committee was comprised of representatives from disability organizations, public works departments, transportation and traffic engineering groups, the design and civil engineering professions, government agencies, and standards-setting bodies. The draft guidelines were revised in January 2006 and are currently undergoing additional review and comment.

**Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (1991)**

As applicable to the City of Independence, the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) requires local jurisdictions to develop a TSP to accommodate future travel demand resulting from adopted land use. The plan must accommodate all travel modes in use within the City, be consistent with the Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP), and coordinated with federal, state and local agencies, as well as various transportation providers.

In brief, TPR requires every local TSP to assess existing facilities for their adequacy and deficiencies; develop and evaluate system alternatives needed to accommodate land uses in the acknowledged comprehensive plan; and adopt local land use regulations to support
implementation of the preferred alternative. The City TSP must also ensure its functional classification system is consistent or compatible with those applying to facilities maintained by adjacent jurisdictions.

**Oregon Transportation Plan (2006)**

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) utilizes several planning documents to guide transportation planning efforts and transportation system improvements in the state. The OTP is ODOT’s overall policy guiding document. The OTP and its modal elements represent the state’s TSP and drive all transportation planning in Oregon. The plans provide a framework for cooperation between ODOT and local jurisdictions and offer guidance to cities and counties for developing local modal plans. The following table lists the different modal plans that have been established and the year the plan was adopted by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oregon Transportation Plan or Plan Element</th>
<th>Year Adopted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aviation System Plan</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Safety Action Plan</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transportation Plan</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway Plan</td>
<td>1999 with subsequent amendments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail Freight and Passenger Plan</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The OTC originally adopted the OTP in September 1992, and an update of the OTP was adopted by the OTC in September 2006. The OTP has three elements: (1) Goals and Policies; (2) Transportation System; and (3) Implementation. The OTP meets a legal requirement that the OTC develop and maintain a plan for a multimodal transportation system for Oregon. Further, the OTP implements the Federal Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU, 2005) requirements for the state transportation plan. The OTP also meets land use planning requirements for state agency coordination and the Goal 12 Transportation Planning Rule. This rule requires ODOT, the cities, and the counties of Oregon to cooperatively plan and develop balanced transportation systems.


The Aviation System Plan provides forecasts and inventories for public access airports in the state. Given expected population growth in the Willamette Valley, the Plan identifies a need to protect and invest in the existing air transportation network. Some of the Plan’s Policies and Actions relevant to the Independence TSP include:

**Policy 2.1.** Guide local jurisdictions in implementing the land use and zoning requirements regarding airports contained in Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 836.600 to 836.630 and in OAR Chapter 660 Division 13.

**Policy 2.2.** Revise, adopt, and implement the state-level Oregon Airport Land Use Compatibility Guidelines, November 1994, to help local jurisdictions establish zoning and land use regulations that preserve airports and avoid future land use conflicts.
Policy 2.3. Guide local jurisdictions to develop appropriate zoning as required by the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) rules to keep runway protection zones (RPZ) free of all structures.

Policy 5.2. Develop a comprehensive approach to airport ground access as part of local and regional transportation system plans, of corridor planning, and of modal planning.

Policy 5.3. Provide information to airport owners on highway and other surface mode planning and programming efforts affecting airports.

Policy 5.4. Encourage and support the integration of airports into local corridor and regional planning.

Policy 6.3. Coordinate with local jurisdictions to ensure that compatible land use is implemented within appropriate distances from airports.

The Plan also includes a matrix of airport deficiencies. The matrix includes nine deficiencies for the Independence State Airport, including deficient length and width for the primary runway.

Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (1995)

The Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (OBPP) provides guidance for planning, design and operation of facilities for bicycle and pedestrian travel. This Plan is divided into two sections, Policy & Action, and Planning, Design, Maintenance & Safety. Section One, Policy & Action, provides background information and addresses the goals, actions, and implementation strategies ODOT proposes to improve bicycle and pedestrian transportation. Section Two, Bikeway & Walkway Planning, Design, Maintenance & Safety, provides guidelines to ODOT, cities and counties in designing, constructing and maintaining pedestrian and bicycle facilities. The Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan is often used by local governments as a guide for the planning and design of facilities for these travel modes. The 2003 Highway Design Manual (HDM) also contains sidewalk and bicycle lane standards that are inconsistent, and in some cases more stringent, than those found in the 1995 OBPP. An update of the OBPP is currently underway and is expected to be completed in 2007. This update will modify the standards in the OBPP to bring them into consistency with the HDM.


This plan established the safety priorities for Oregon by identifying 70 actions relating to all modes of transportation and the roadway, driver and vehicle aspects. Included in this plan is a specific action regarding the way safety issues should be considered in local transportation planning.

Local transportation plans, as well as modal and corridor plans should consider the following:

- Involvement in the planning process of engineering, enforcement, and emergency service personnel as well as local transportation safety groups;
- Safety objectives; and
- Resolution of goal conflicts between safety and other issues.

Oregon Public Transportation Plan (1997)

The plan is primarily focused on public transportation in metropolitan and urban areas. The minimum public transportation level of service standards (LOS) for communities with a population of at least 2,500 located within 20 miles of an urban central city that will apply to Independence by 2015 are as follows:
- Coordinate intercity senior and disabled services with intercity bus and van services open to the general public;
- Coordinate local public transportation and senior and disabled services to intercity bus services;
- Provide an accessible ride to anyone requesting services;
- Provide at least 1.7 annual hours of public transportation service per capita with fixed-route, dial-a-ride or other service types;
- Provide at least one accessible vehicle for every 40 hours of service;
- Provide backup vehicle for every 3.5 vehicles;
- Provide daily peak hour commuter service to the core areas of the central city;
- Provide a guaranteed ride home program to all users of the public transportation system and publicize it well;
- Provide park and ride facilities along transit route corridors to meet reasonable peak and off-peak demand for such facilities;
- Maintain vehicles and corresponding facilities in a cost-effective manner and replace vehicles when they reach suggested retirement age;
- Establish ridematching and demand management programs in communities of 5,000 where there are employers with 500 or more workers who are not already covered by a regional ridematching/demand management program; and
- Establish ridematching and demand management programs in communities of 10,000.

In addition to intracity public transportation, the plan also describes minimum LOS standards for intercity bus and passenger rail.

Oregon Highway Plan (1999)

This plan defines policies and investment strategies for Oregon’s state highways for the next 20 years. It further refines the goals and policies of the OTP and is part of Oregon’s Statewide Transportation Plan. The Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) has three main elements:

- The Vision presents a vision for the future of the state highway system, describes economic and demographic trends in Oregon, describes future transportation technologies, summarizes the policy and legal context of the Highway Plan, and contains information on the current highway system;
- The Policy Element contains goals, policies, and actions in five policy areas: system definition, system management, access management, travel alternatives, and environmental and scenic resources; and
- The System Element contains an analysis of state highway needs, revenue forecasts, descriptions of investment strategies, implementation strategy, and performance measures.

The Highway Plan gives policy and investment direction to corridor plans and transportation system plans that are being prepared around the state, but it leaves the responsibility for identifying specific projects and modal alternatives to these plans.

Specifically relevant to the Independence area are the Highway Plan traffic operational and access management standards that apply to Oregon 51.
Oregon Rail Freight and Passenger Plan (2001)

This plan presents an overview of the rail system in Oregon. It outlines the state rail planning process and examines specific rail lines in detail that may be eligible for state or federal financial assistance. The plan examines the trend of service on low-density rail lines increasingly provided by the short haul (Class III) railroads. In addition, the plan describes minimum LOS standards for freight and passenger rail systems in Oregon. The previously adopted Passenger Policy and Plan (1994) is now a component of the Oregon Rail Freight and Passenger Plan.

Relative to the Independence area, this plan describes use patterns of the Willamette & Pacific Railroad (doing business as the Portland & Western Railroad) that runs through Independence. There is also an abandoned railroad right-of-way in southwestern Independence. Passenger rail service is not presently available in Independence.

In 1994, OTC adopted four policies relating to freight service that are especially relevant to the Independence TSP.

Policy 3: Protect abandoned rights-of-way for alternative or future use.

**Actions**

1. Ensure that political jurisdictions and private groups are familiar with how to preserve and convert abandoned rail rights-of-way for Public Use and Interim Trail Use, as allowed under federal law.

2. Use federal, state and local funds to preserve rail rights-of-way for future transportation purposes.

Policy 4: Integrate rail freight considerations into the state’s land use planning process.

**Actions**

1. Work with communities to minimize conflicts between railroad operations and other urban activities.

2. Assist in removing constraints to improved railroad operating efficiency within urbanized areas. Work with communities to consolidate or close existing grade crossings and prevent the establishment of unjustifiable new grade crossings.

Oregon Administrative Rules Regarding Access Management (OAR 734-051)

ODOT manages access to the highway facilities of the state to the degree necessary to maintain functional use, highway safety, and the preservation of public investment consistent with the 1999 OHP and adopted local comprehensive plans. The purpose of Oregon’s Access Management Rules is to govern the issuing of construction, operation, maintenance and use permits for approaches onto state highways, state highway rights-of-way and properties under the state’s jurisdiction. These rules also govern closure of existing approaches, spacing standards, medians, variances to the standards, appeal processes, and grants of access.

Through these rules, the state indicates its policy to manage the location, spacing and type of road and street intersections and approaches on state highways to assure the safe and efficient operation of state highways consistent with their classification, and the designation of the particular highway segment. OAR 734-051 contains policies and standards regulating access, and generally holds that access control should be considered where beneficial, such as when:
Protecting resource lands;
- Preserving highway capacity on land adjacent to an urban growth boundary (UGB); or
- Ensuring safety on segments with sharp curves, steep grades or restricted sight distance or those with a history of accidents.

Legal and policy guidelines for access are also covered in the ORS 374, the OHP, and the OTP. Oregon’s access management rules and standards apply to Oregon 51 in Independence.

**Freight Moves the Oregon Economy (1999)**

This publication succinctly states that “freight plays a major role in moving the Oregon economy. Most freight moves by truck, rail, waterway, air, and pipeline with trucks accounting for the greatest volume.” Though the City of Independence is not on the State Highway Freight System, the City of Independence has one highway on the National Highway System, Oregon 51, and one freight rail line. This publication indicates that those highways not on the State Highway Freight System have common problems, including: congestion; access; pavement in poor condition; and inadequate bridges. It also notes that freight haulers experience congestion related problems, including difficulty making turning movements between local roads and highways.

**Statewide Transportation Improvement Program 2006-2009**

Oregon’s STIP is the state’s transportation capital improvement program, which fulfills the requirements of the federal Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act: a Legacy for Users (2005). The STIP lists the schedule of transportation projects for the four-year period from 2006 to 2009. It is a compilation of projects utilizing various federal and state funding programs, and includes projects on the state, county and City transportation systems as well as projects in the National Parks, National Forests, and Indian Reservations. There are no improvement projects programmed in the 2006-2009 STIP for the Independence Urban area, and only two projects near Independence:

- Willamette River/Riverside Road Bridge – Scour Protection/Prevention (this project is underway)
- North Fork Ash Creek (Riddell Road) Bridge – Replace bridge

The STIP is not a planning document; it is a project prioritization and scheduling document developed through various planning processes involving local and regional governments, transportation agencies, and the interested public. Through the STIP, ODOT allocates resources to those projects that have been given the highest priority in these plans.

**Polk County Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element (2004 Edition)**

The Comprehensive Plan for Polk County establishes the official goals and policies related to future development in the County. These goals and policies are divided into fourteen subject areas:

1. Citizen involvement
2. Agricultural lands
3. Forest lands
4. Natural resources
5. Willamette Greenway
6. Land capability and resource quality
7. Recreational needs  
8. Economic development  
9. Unincorporated Communities Plan Element  
10. Public facilities and services  
11. Urban land development  
12. Housing  
13. Transportation  
14. Energy conservation  

Per Polk County Ordinance 98-5, the Polk County Transportation System Plan (TSP) is the Transportation Element of the County’s Comprehensive Plan.

**Polk County Transportation System Plan (1998)**

The Polk County Transportation System Plan was adopted by the Polk County Board of Commissioners on July 7, 1998. The Polk County TSP is a multimodal transportation plan that includes automobile, bicycle, rail, transit, air, walking, and transmission systems (for example, pipelines). Per Polk County Ordinance 98-5, the Polk County TSP also serves as the Transportation Element of the County’s Comprehensive Plan. The following TSP goals and policies have special bearing on the Independence TSP.

**Goal 1**

To provide a convenient, economic, energy efficient, reliable, and safe multimodal (road, rail, air, public transportation, waterway, bicycle, pedestrian and pipeline) transportation systems for all users; including the young, elderly, disabled, and the disadvantaged.

**Policy 1-1.** Polk County will consider the road network as an important and valuable component of the transportation system.

**Policy 1-2.** Polk County will cooperate with the cities of Independence and Monmouth in preventing the construction of structures which intrude into the airspace necessary for the safe operation of aircraft using the Independence State Airport and in preventing uses of the land in the vicinity of the airport which would conflict with noise generated by the aircraft.

**Policy 1-3.** Polk County will discourage direct access from adjacent properties onto those highways designated as arterials whenever alternative access can be made available.

**Policy 1-5.** Polk County shall discourage adding mileage to the system until the following criteria are satisfied:
- The condition of the road proposed for acceptance into the system meets the county road standards; or
- An overall increase in efficiency in the county road network can be demonstrated.

**Policy 1-6.** Polk County shall explore options to reduce road mileage under the county’s jurisdiction by working with the cities in Polk County to transfer the jurisdiction of county roads for maintenance and improvement when urbanization occurs. This will occur when the road functions as a City street and/or when the urban type development makes it apparent that City forces are better equipped to do the work.

**Policy 1-7.** Polk County will strive to maintain a Level of Service (LOS) A on all county arterials and collectors, and will initiate corrective action to prevent degradation below LOS.
C. LOS C is a range of stable flow, but with delays at signalized or stop sign controlled intersections.

**Policy 1-9.** Polk County does not currently designate any truck routes; however, any load limited bridges or roads may prevent trucks from using some routes from time to time. The county will periodically review the need for designating routings.

**Policy 1-11.** Polk County will work with private companies and public agencies to establish an economically feasible public transportation system appropriate to the needs of its citizens, including the disadvantaged and disabled.

**Policy 1-12.** Polk County will use every practical opportunity to enhance the intermodal connectivity of its transportation system.

**Policy 1-13.** All county bicycle facilities shall be constructed in accordance with county bicycle standards.

**Goal 2**
To maintain an ongoing transportation planning process keyed to meet the needs of the traveling public and coordinated among the state, regional, and local jurisdictions.

**Policy 2-1.** Polk County will continue to coordinate transportation planning with and consider the needs of its cities, other counties, the region, and the state. The county will support the transportation planning efforts of all its municipalities.

**Goal 3**
To maintain a transportation system supportive of a sustained, geographically distributed and diversified economy.

**Policy 3-3.** Polk County will resist the abandonment of active railroad lines which contribute to the economic viability of the county.

**Policy 3-5.** Polk County encourages and supports the improvement of rail conditions to maintain rail service as an effective mover of goods. Concurrently, the county supports safety improvements at rail crossings.

**Goal 4**
To implement a level of transportation development which positively contributes to Polk County’s livability.

**Policy 4-1.** Polk County will require setbacks from the public right-of-way of principal arterials (such as Oregon 51) for commercial and industrial uses along such facilities.

**City of Monmouth, Transportation System Plan (1997)**
The City of Monmouth TSP was adopted in 1997. Given the proximity of Monmouth to Independence, the Monmouth TSP roadway system improvement recommendations map was reviewed for consistency with the proposed roadway system improvement recommendations identified in this City of Independence TSP update.

**City of Independence Comprehensive Plan (2003)**
The City of Independence Comprehensive Plan was created in 1979 and updated/amended in 1988, 1993, 2001, and 2003. The purpose of the Comprehensive Plan is to provide for orderly growth and to encourage development of a community that meets the needs of its current and
future residents. The Comprehensive Plan is the City’s highest tier policy document, and establishes the policy framework for future growth decisions.

The Comprehensive Plan Goals relevant to the Independence TSP include the following.

**Goal: Economy**

To provide for and maintain a viable and diverse economy while preserving the present sense of community and high level of environmental quality.

**Policies:**

4. The City of Independence shall encourage new commercial and industrial development to provide for pedestrian and bicycle traffic, and shall be attractively landscaped.

12. The City of Independence shall develop standards in the Zoning Ordinance to encourage or require, with development or redevelopment, the consolidation of vehicle accesses on arterial streets, where appropriate and practical.

**Goal: Transportation**

To provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system.

**Policies:**

1. Independence shall develop a coordinated street network which facilitates the mobility and accessibility of community residents.

2. Independence shall consider access to public transit in making deliberations on residential development patterns.

3. Independence shall promote the development and maintenance of alternative transportation modes, such as bikeways, pedestrian ways, and public transit.

4. Independence shall encourage transportation modes which are energy efficient and enhance the air, noise, and visual environment of the community.

5. Independence shall promote a regional mass transportation system in its planning efforts.

6. Independence shall promote and give high priority to pedestrian ways in the downtown area.

7. Independence shall encourage additional use and development of air and rail facilities in the City.

**Goal: Energy Conservation**

To conserve energy.

**Policies:**

3. Independence shall encourage energy efficient transportation alternatives to the private auto.

The Comprehensive Plan also includes Functional Street Classifications and Street Design Standards for Independence, though the Independence TSP and Development Code are the actual working guidelines for the development of new, or modifications to existing, rights-of-way.

Lastly, the Comprehensive Plan includes discussion of the transportation related infrastructure and needs as assessed in 1979 and 1988 (much of this information is now dated).

Owned by the State of Oregon, the Independence State Airport is the only publicly-owned airport in Polk County. The Oregon Department of Aviation completed an Airport Layout Plan Report for the Independence State Airport in 1997. The Report provides for anticipated aviation facility needs over a twenty-year period. The improvements identified in the Report will allow the airport to continue to provide “safe, efficient, economical, and environmentally acceptable air transportation.” The majority of the Report’s recommendations pertain to aviation related improvements to existing airport-owned property. Report recommendations that affect non-airport-owned property include:

- An area of approximately 41 acres (540 feet wide) located along the west side of Runway 16-34 should be acquired and reserved for future general aviation parking and hangar development;
- The City of Independence and Polk County should maintain airport overlay zoning which coincides with the future approach surfaces and Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 77 surfaces. The airport overlay zoning should conform with guidelines provided by the Oregon Department of Aviation, regarding airport land use compatibility planning; and
- Safeguard the Runway Protection Zones (RPZs) by acquiring property or aviation easements for portions of the existing and future RPZs located outside airport property, not presently controlled.

Independence-Monmouth Comprehensive Master Bicycle Plan (December 1991)

The Master Bicycle Plan was developed to support the goals of the Polk County Comprehensive Plan to encourage bicycles as a travel mode. The emphasis of the Master Bicycle Plan is to provide a policy and technical framework to develop a network of bikeways that link important community destinations such as neighborhoods, commercial areas, schools, and parks. This is accomplished by providing guidance in the following areas:

- Facility planning and maintenance;
- Responsibilities of participating agencies;
- Programmatic Support and Promotion of Safe Cycling; and
- Resources for Implementation

Ash Creek Trail Master Plan (April 2005)

The Ash Creek Trail Master Plan supports the basic goals of the Master Bicycle Plan to provide a facility for transportation and recreational use. The proposed trail alignment aims to provide an alternative to travel on Oregon 51 and enhance and protect the Ash Creek greenway corridor. The Plan contains specific recommendations and guidelines for trail and greenway design. Also included in the plan are evaluations and resources to develop and implement the trail plan. The cities of Monmouth and Independence are in the process of constructing the first of three phases of the trail.

City of Independence Parks and Open Space Master Plan (1996)

The City of Independence completed the Parks and Open Space Master Plan in 1996. This plan inventories the existing parks, analyzes the need for future parks, and develops a park system plan for the City of Independence. This information was used to identify transportation needs and develop the 1998 Independence TSP.
City of Independence Goals and Objectives (2005)
The City of Independence Goals and Objectives, the product of a 2005 City Council work session, is a non-prioritized list of action items covering a range of issue categories. One issue category is Transportation Infrastructure Improvements, which includes the following Goals and Objectives:

- More [vehicular] routes east-west; and
- Update Transportation Plan & TSP projects.

City of Independence Strategic Plan (2002)
In 2002, the City of Independence contracted with Rural Development Initiatives (RDI) to facilitate the development of a Strategic Plan. To complete this task, the RDI convened a series of meetings with a Strategic Plan planning group, comprised of members of the community such as citizens, elected officials, and City staff. Meetings of the group were open to the public, and public participation was allowed at all meetings. The Plan includes a Vision for the community and an Action Agenda necessary to implement that vision. Elements of the Action Agenda include:

**Goal 1**
A pleasant pedestrian experience and increased pedestrian safety in the City of Independence.

- Strategy #1-A: Assess and repair all sidewalks including addressing ADA issues
- Strategy #1-B: Assess and address street lighting for pedestrians
- Strategy #1-C: Assess and address where crosswalk improvements need to be made

**Goal 2**
Adequate City Infrastructure.

- Strategy #2-C: Improve streets and curbs

**Goal 5**
A safe and healthy environment for City residents.

- Strategy #5-H: Designated truck route to alleviate truck traffic

**Goal 7**
Independence is a livable City for its citizens.

- Strategy #7-B: Beautify and improve North Main / Oregon 51
- Strategy #7-C: Complete Ash Creek Multi-purpose Trail

2.2 ANALYSIS OF EXISTING LAND USES
Independence is a growing community, with a 2005 population of 7,515, according to the 2005 Annual Oregon Population Report published by the Portland State University Population Research Center. This represents an approximately 39 percent increase from the 1997 population of 5,405 that was reported in the 1998 Independence TSP.

Based on the City’s existing Comprehensive Plan, the majority of land within Independence is designated for residential use (see Figure 1). Residentially-designated land can be found throughout the city, while commercial property is generally clustered along Oregon 51, which...
is the route traveling through the historic core area of the City. This historic downtown is located where Oregon 51 turns from a north/south to an east/west street in the central eastern portion of the city. Industrially designated property is found primarily along the rail line, both north and south of Oregon 51. Public service designated land includes the land in use as sewage lagoons, schools, and parks.

2.3 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

This section describes the existing transportation facilities and services within the Independence UGB. These descriptions are based upon updates to the previous Independence TSP which were provided by City of Independence staff. Additional facilities and service information is included in Appendix A. Oregon’s TSP preparation guidelines require that each modal element of the transportation system must be inventoried and assessed by function, type, capacity, and condition (OAR 660-12-020). This information is summarized on the following pages.

Roadway Facilities

The major roadway facility in the Independence UGB is Oregon 51. Oregon 51 enters the UGB on the north side of town and runs parallel to the Willamette River for about 1.3 miles on the Independence Highway No. 193. About halfway through town, the highway turns due west and bisects Independence into northern and southern halves. The route continues as Monmouth-Independence Highway No. 43 through Monmouth, immediately west of the Independence UGB.

Other roads and streets in the Independence UGB include local, collector and secondary arterial facilities which access a variety of neighborhoods and connect the various portions of the city with each other. Streets serve a variety of travel needs ranging from those that provide predominately for through movement to those that offer direct access to adjacent property. In order to serve this wide range of uses effectively, streets are classified (and designed) by function to ensure the predominate types of travel are appropriately accommodated.

Numerous natural and man-made features, including Ash Creek, the Portland Western Railroad, and Sewage lagoons, constrain the ability to connect roadways with one another inside the City of Independence. Despite these constraints, the City of Independence has managed to achieve a street grid which provides a significant level of connectivity for vehicles, bicyclists and pedestrians.

Functional Classification

As defined by the Federal Highway Administration, functional classification is “...the process by which streets and highways are grouped into classes, or systems, according to the character of service they are intended to provide.” Independence has the following hierarchy of functionally classified streets: major arterial, minor arterial, collector, and local. This classification system is described in greater detail in the following paragraphs. Figure 2 illustrates the street functional classification system for Independence.

Independence uses four general classifications to describe its existing and future network of streets. The four functional classifications are defined as follows:

- **Major Arterial**: This is a major facility for moving large volumes of inter-area traffic primarily carrying through traffic. An arterial is intended to provide for the majority of regional travel passing through an area as well as the majority of local trips entering and leaving the urban area. It should also provide continuity for all rural arterials which
intercept the UGB and should include connections to all rural collectors. Arterials generally emphasize mobility over land access. Access to arterials should be managed to protect the mobility function of the street as much as possible.

- **Minor Arterial**: This is a two-lane facility that is designed to carry “through” traffic. Minor arterials place more emphasis on land access and offer a lower level of traffic volume and mobility than major arterials. Although a minor arterial is intended to provide more access than a major arterial, mobility is still the primary function of the street and should be preserved as much as possible.

- **Collector**: This facility connects intra-area traffic to the arterial system. Collectors provide links between an area or neighborhood and the arterial system. They supply abutting property with the same degree of land service as a local street but are usually given priority over local streets in any traffic control installations. Collectors penetrate into all areas of a City. Collectors provide a direct route to many destinations, and for longer trips, collectors connect to arterials or rural collectors.

- **Local**: This type of street primarily provides access to abutting properties and is protected from “through” traffic. Local streets entail all those not otherwise defined as arterials or collectors. While connectivity is encouraged for all streets, through traffic movement is not the intended purpose of a local street.

**Oregon Highway Plan Classifications**

Most of the major arterials in Independence are also Oregon State Highway facilities as adopted in the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP). Both Highway 193 and 43 (Oregon 51) have been designated as district level, non-freight routes. In addition, as illustrated in Figure 3, the following highway segment designations apply to portions of these highways:

- **Urban Business Area (UBA)**: Highway 193 (Oregon 51) from Stryker Road to Polk Street
- **Special Transportation Area (STA)**: Highway 193 (Oregon 51) from B Street to Monmouth Street and Highway 43 from Main Street to 4th Street
- **Commercial Center (CC)**: Highway 43 (Oregon 51) from 10th Street to the Independence City Limits

For further explanation of highway segment designations, UBAs, STAs, and CCs, see the Key Terms and Acronyms section on page v.

**Polk County Functional Classifications**

The Polk County TSP includes functional classifications for two routes, three roads, and a bridge inside the City of Independence:

**Polk County Minor Arterial**

- Oregon 51 (Main and Monmouth Streets from northern UGB to western UGB) – **City of Independence Major Arterial**
- Main Street and Corvallis Road (from Oregon 51 to southern UGB) – **City of Independence Major Arterial**
- Hoffman Road and Polk Street (from Oregon 51 to western UGB) – **City of Independence Minor Arterial**
- Gun Club Road (from Hoffman Road to Oregon 51) – **City of Independence Minor Arterial**
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- The Independence Bridge (Between Corvallis Road and Marion County) – not classified by City of Independence

Minor Collector

- Talmadge Road (Between Oregon 51 and southern city limits) – City of Independence Collector

The Polk County and City of Independence functional classifications for roads within the City of Independence are fundamentally consistent: County designated Arterials are City designated Arterials and the County designated Collector is a City designated Collector. The two functional classification differences that exist (Oregon 51 and Main Street / Corvallis Road south of Oregon 51) are cases where Polk County designated Minor Arterials are City designated Major Arterials. These differences are the result of scale and are not inconsistencies. Polk County includes roads, such as Oregon 99W and Oregon 22, which carry significantly more traffic and link larger communities than the roads found within Independence. Because of this difference in scale, it is consistent that roads within Independence with the highest City functional classification (Major Arterials) do not receive the highest County functional classification (Principal Arterial).

Street Standards

Consistent with the identification of streets by functional classification is the need to develop and utilize street standards that guide the physical design of facilities falling into the four functional classifications. The adoption of street standards provides a city with the means of insuring consistency, safety and aesthetic quality in roadway design. In addition, design standards provide appropriate guidance when roadways are planned and constructed. While it is important to have recognized standards for street design, major street projects often need to be evaluated on an individual basis. Strict adherence to street standards, including such factors as distance between intersections, access points and relationship to adjacent land uses, may not be practical in all situations given existing development patterns or other social, economic or environmental constraints.

Current adopted street standards are summarized in Table 2-2 and text below.

Table 2-2. Independence Street Design Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Major Arterial Streets</th>
<th>Minor Arterial Streets</th>
<th>Collector Streets</th>
<th>Local Streets &gt;20 DUs</th>
<th>Local Streets &lt; 20 DUs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right-of-way width</td>
<td>84 feet (a)</td>
<td>66 feet (a)</td>
<td>66 feet (a)</td>
<td>60 feet</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb-to-curb width</td>
<td>60 feet</td>
<td>36 feet</td>
<td>36 feet</td>
<td>36 feet</td>
<td>28 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving Lanes</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn Lanes</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Lanes</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>Ph 1: Shared</td>
<td>Ph 2: 2 @ 6’ (c)</td>
<td>Shared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lanes</td>
<td>No (d)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ph 1: 2 sides</td>
<td>Ph 2: No (e)</td>
<td>Shared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 5’</td>
<td>2 @ 5’</td>
<td>2 @ 5’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: City of Independence, 2006

a. Additional right-of-way and roadway improvements may be required at major intersections to provide for turn lanes.

b. At all intersections where separate lanes are needed due to volume of turning movement activity.

c. Collectors with < 2,000 ADT can accommodate on-street parking and shared use of road space by bicyclists and motor vehicles. For collectors with > 2,000 ADT the city will study the need to eliminate on-street parking and provide bike lanes.

d. Parking is currently allowed along some sections of the arterial streets in the downtown business district. Existing on-street parking will be allowed to continue until such time as traffic volumes on roadways increase to a level where significant conflicts between moving traffic and parking occur. Parking needs will be evaluated as a part of roadway projects to improve capacity and meet new design standards.
Access Management

The term access management refers to the process of balancing the need for access to parcels of land adjacent to roadways with the need for safe and efficient through movement of vehicular traffic on the roadway. Access management can be implemented by a variety of means. These include median controls (e.g., raised concrete medians); driveway spacing and/or driveway consolidation (so that there are fewer driveways serving one parcel or multiple parcels); requiring that driveways be placed on lower order streets where a parcel abuts both higher and lower order streets; intersection spacing to reduce the number of conflict points; and signalization restrictions along a street, as the frequency of intersections and signals can reduce the benefits of effective signal timing progression.

Access management is closely related to street functional classification. Typically, when access controls are in place, the frequency of driveways and intersecting streets is more restrictive along state highways and major arterials where the movement of traffic takes a higher priority. Access controls are less restrictive along collector streets where there is greater balance between access and mobility. Access controls are restricted only by safety considerations along local streets, as property access is the primary function of these streets.

Frequent driveway and cross-street access can significantly degrade traffic operations along major streets as motorists must contend with people slowing to turn into adjacent property or attempting to get back onto the major street from a side access location. Not only do frequent driveways adversely affect the operational capacity of a road, they also affect safety in that each driveway or intersecting street represents a potential conflict point for through-moving vehicles. The strip development that often occurs as a result of the lack of access control can also be inhospitable to pedestrians and can be difficult to adequately serve by transit due to the spread out nature of destinations.

Access management can be most effectively implemented during the land development process when access locations and localized street improvements can be adapted to ensure that adjacent street traffic-carrying functions are not degraded. Access management controls are more difficult to implement along streets with developed property due to possible right-of-way limitations and/or the concerns of property owners about business or on-site circulation impacts. In these cases, access controls can be incorporated into a roadway improvement project.

Access Management along State Highways

In Independence, most major arterial streets are also state highways (e.g., Oregon 51). The exception is Main Street between Monmouth Street and the southern edge of the UGB. ODOT has sole responsibility for approval and permitting of access to state highways and Independence must coordinate this design element with ODOT for all access points along Oregon 51.

New access to/from a state highway is provided consistent with the standards adopted in the Oregon Highway Plan and the Access Management Administrative Rule (OAR 734-051-0115) for each highway classification, its location within an urban or rural area, and its posted speed (see Table 2-3 and Appendix A). Oregon 51 has been designated as a District highway and the following access management standards would apply within Independence.
Table 2-3. Access Management Spacing Standards for Private and Public Approaches on District Highways(1)(2)(3)(4) (Measured in Feet)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posted Speed (5)</th>
<th>Urban**</th>
<th>STA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 &amp; 45</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 &amp; 35</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤25</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Measurement of the approach road spacing is from center to center on the same side of the roadway.
**These standards also apply to Commercial Centers.

Notes on Tables 2-3:
1. These access management spacing standards are for unsignalized approaches only. Signal spacing standards supersede access management spacing standards for approaches.
2. These access management spacing standards do not apply to approaches in existence prior to April 1, 2000, except as provided in OAR 734-051-0115(1)(c) and 734-051-0125(1)(c).
3. For infill and redevelopment, see OAR 734-051-0135(4).
4. For deviations to the designated access management spacing standards see OAR 734-051-0135.
5. Posted (or Desirable) Speed: Posted speed can only be adjusted (up or down) after a speed study is conducted and that study determines the correct posted speed to be different than the current posted speed. In cases where actual speeds are suspected to be much higher than posted speeds, the Department reserves the right to adjust the access management spacing accordingly. A determination can be made to go to longer access management spacing standards as appropriate for a higher speed. A speed study will need to be conducted to determine the correct speed.
6. Minimum access management spacing for public road approaches is the existing city block spacing or the city block spacing as identified in the local comprehensive plan. Public road connections are preferred over private driveways and in STAs driveways are discouraged. However, where driveways are allowed and where land use patterns permit, the minimum access management spacing for driveways is 175 feet (55 meters) or mid-block if the current city block spacing is less than 350 feet (110 meters).

In developed areas the city will work with ODOT and property owners to minimize the number of access points and to provide optimum access spacing as the opportunity arises. The city and ODOT may develop access management guidelines that enable the city and ODOT to achieve certain operational and safety objectives for specific roadway segments.

Access Management along City Streets

The City of Independence has established access management standards in its Development Code and these standards for minor arterial and collector roadways are summarized in Table 2-4 below. As indicated previously, much of the major arterial street system in the city is Oregon 51 and the city’s access management standards for Oregon 51 are consistent with ODOT requirements.

Table 2-4. Access Management Standards for City Streets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Class</th>
<th>Minimum Speed Posted</th>
<th>Minimum Spacing Between Driveways</th>
<th>Spacing Between Intersections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Arterial</td>
<td>35-50</td>
<td>250 feet</td>
<td>¼ mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>35-50</td>
<td>250 feet</td>
<td>250 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Collector</td>
<td>25-40</td>
<td>100-150 feet</td>
<td>250 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector</td>
<td>25-40</td>
<td>100-150 feet</td>
<td>250 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the information contained in Table 2-4, the City’s access management standards included in the 1998 TSP encourage the following:

- **For major and minor arterials** – When pre-existing patterns of land ownership preclude the application of spacing standards, the city will encourage property owners to share private drives or to obtain access via the local and/or collector street system wherever feasible.

- **For collector streets** – Access to collectors is permitted by both streets and private drives. However, the city will encourage property owners to minimize access to collector streets according to the following guidelines: on-site vehicle turn-arounds, adequate off-street parking, safe intersection sight distance, and safe off-set distance between intersections on opposing sides of the street. The city will encourage combined access to collector streets wherever practical.

- **For local streets** – A well-connected local street network is important for convenient bicycle and pedestrian access. Cul-de-sac streets will continue to be discouraged in favor of establishing connections with existing or planned streets. Because local streets serve a wide range of uses, including neighborhood play areas, the city will explore options to discourage “through” traffic and speeds in excess of 25 mph. Local streets that include design features such as curves and “T” intersection may be a useful means of reducing conflicts and discouraging through traffic.

**Analysis of Traffic Operations**

The analysis of existing traffic conditions within the City of Independence focuses on eight key intersections located throughout the City, and a County intersection located outside the City UGB (Hoffman Road at 16th Street). These intersections are:

- Hoffman Road at 16th Street (unsignalized);
- Hoffman Road at Gun Club Road (unsignalized);
- Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at 16th Street (signalized);
- Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at Gun Club Road (signalized);
- Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at 7th Street (unsignalized);
- Main Street (Oregon 51) at Polk Street (unsignalized);
- Main Street (Oregon 51) at Stryker Road (unsignalized);
- Main Street at ‘G’ Street (unsignalized); and
- Main Street (Oregon 51) at Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) (3-way stop controlled).

Each of the unsignalized intersections is stop-controlled on the minor street approach. Two of the intersections operate with traffic signals, one as a three way stop. Existing lane configurations and traffic control for the nine study area intersections are shown in Figure 4.

**Intersection Operating Standards**

Within the state of Oregon, traffic operations are evaluated based on two sets of criteria or standards. The operative standard used by ODOT for state highways is the volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio, and is expressed in terms of a ratio between traffic volumes and the roadway or intersection’s capacity. Many local communities assess the quality of traffic performance in terms of intersection or roadway levels of service (LOS). These two operational standards are described below.
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Volume to Capacity Ratios

As adopted in the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), ODOT uses V/C ratios to measure state highway performance rather than intersection or roadway LOS. A V/C ratio expresses the relationship between traffic volumes and a roadway or intersection’s theoretical capacity. Various V/C thresholds are applied to all state highways based on functional classification of these facilities.

Table 2-5 provides a short summary of volume-to-capacity ranges as presented in the Highway Capacity Manual published by Transportation Research Board, Washington DC, 2000. The V/C ratio (and average delay) is calculated differently for signalized and unsignalized intersections. At signalized intersections, V/C ratios are calculated separately for each lane group, as well as for the intersection as a whole.¹

In contrast, at unsignalized intersections both delay and V/C ratios are calculated for each traffic movement affected by right-of-way controls like stop signs. A V/C ratio above 1.0 often accompanies level of service LOS E or LOS F conditions, indicating that there is inadequate capacity for one or more movements. At intersections operating at LOS D or better, v/c ratios above 1.0 are indicators of such issues as sub-optimal signal timing or inadequate turn lane storage. For unsignalized intersections, low levels of service (e.g., LOS E or F) and/or high v/c ratios typically indicate that there is a side street movement that faces substantial conflicting traffic or inadequate gaps on the major street where traffic does not have to stop. The v/c standard can, at times, indicate potential intersection operational problems even when the delay (and LOS) is acceptable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume to Capacity Ratio</th>
<th>Description of Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00-0.60</td>
<td><strong>Free Flow/Insignificant Delays</strong>: No approach phase is fully utilized by traffic and no vehicle waits longer than one red indication. Most vehicles do not stop at all. Progression is extremely favorable and most vehicles arrive during the green phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.61-0.70</td>
<td><strong>Stable Operation/Minimal Delays</strong>: An occasional approach phase is fully utilized. Many drivers begin to feel somewhat restricted within platoons of vehicles. This level generally occurs with good progression, short cycle lengths, or both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71-0.80</td>
<td><strong>Stable Operation/Acceptable Delays</strong>: Major approach phases fully utilized. Most drivers feel somewhat restricted. Higher delays may result from fair progression, longer cycle lengths, or both. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level, and the number of vehicles stopping is significant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.81-0.90</td>
<td><strong>Approaching Unstable/Tolerable Delays</strong>: The influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Drivers may have to wait through more than one red signal indication. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, or high v/c ratios. The proportion of vehicles not stopping declines, and individual cycle failures are noticeable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ A lane group is a combination of one or more left, through and/or right turn lanes that move together at an intersection.
Table 2-5. Volume to Capacity Ratio Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume to Capacity Ratio</th>
<th>Description of Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.91-1.0</td>
<td><strong>Unstable Operation/Significant Delays</strong>: Volumes at or near capacity. Vehicles may wait though several signal cycles. Long queues form upstream from intersection. These high delay values generally indicate poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios. Individual cycle failures are a frequent occurrence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td><strong>Forced Flow/Excessive Delays</strong>: Represents jammed conditions. Queues may block upstream intersections. This level occurs when arrival flow rates exceed intersection capacity, and is considered to be unacceptable to most drivers. Poor progression, long cycle lengths, and v/c ratios approaching 1.0 may contribute to these high delay levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Oregon 51 is a district level, non-freight route inside a non-MPO municipal UGB. In addition, the following highway segment designations apply to portions of Oregon 51 inside Independence:

- Urban Business Area (UBA): Oregon 51 (Main Street) from Stryker Road to Polk Street
- Special Transportation Area (STA): Oregon 51 (Main Street) from B Street to Monmouth Street and Oregon 51 (Monmouth Street) from Main Street to 4th Street
- Commercial Center (CC): Oregon 51 (Monmouth Street) from 10th Street to the Independence City Limits

The peak hour, maximum V/C standards for various portions of Oregon 51 are summarized in Table 2-6.

Table 2-6. Oregon 51 (Hwys 193 & 43) Peak Hour, Maximum V/C Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Highway, Inside UGB, Non-MPO Designations</th>
<th>Maximum V/C Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STA</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted speed &lt;=35 mph or UBA</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted speed &gt;35 mph</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted speed &gt;=45 mph</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oregon Highway Plan, Policy 1F Mobility Standards, Table 6.

**Intersection Levels of Service**

Another measure of intersection operating performance during peak travel periods is based on average control delay per vehicle entering the intersection. This delay is calculated using equations that take into account turning movement volumes, intersection lane geometry and traffic signal features, as well as characteristics of the traffic stream passing through the intersection, including time required to slow, stop, wait, and accelerate to move through the intersection. Various levels of delay are then expressed in terms of levels of service for either signalized or unsignalized intersections. The various LOS range from LOS A (free-flow conditions) through LOS F (operational breakdown). Between LOS A and LOS F, progressively higher LOS grades reflect increasingly worse intersection performance, with
higher levels of control delay and increased congestion and traffic queues. Characteristics of each LOS are briefly described below in Table 2-7.

**Table 2-7. Level of Service Definitions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Service</th>
<th>Average Delay/Vehicle (sec.)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A (Desirable)</strong></td>
<td>&lt;10 seconds</td>
<td>&lt;10 seconds Very low delay; most vehicles do not stop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B (Desirable)</strong></td>
<td>&gt;10 and &lt;20 seconds</td>
<td>&gt;10 and &lt;15 seconds Low delay resulting from good progression, short cycle lengths, or both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C (Desirable)</strong></td>
<td>&gt;20 and &lt;35 seconds</td>
<td>&gt;15 and &lt;25 seconds Higher delays with fair progression, longer cycle lengths, or both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D (Acceptable)</strong></td>
<td>&gt;35 and &lt;55 seconds</td>
<td>&gt;25 and &lt;35 seconds Noticeable congestion with many vehicles stopping. Individual cycle failures occur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E (Unsatisfactory)</strong></td>
<td>&gt;55 and &lt;80 seconds</td>
<td>&gt;35 and &lt;50 seconds High delay with poor progression, long cycle lengths, high V/C ratios, and frequent cycle failures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F (Unsatisfactory)</strong></td>
<td>&gt;80 seconds</td>
<td>&gt;50 seconds Very long delays, considered unacceptable by most drivers. Often results from over-saturated conditions or poor signal timing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Existing Traffic Operations**

The City of Independence and ODOT staff selected nine study intersections shown in Figure 5 for analysis. These intersections were chosen to update existing conditions as reflected in the 1998 Independence Transportation System Plan, to incorporate the new study areas, and to address areas of noted concern. Traffic counts, Level of Service calculation sheets, descriptions of Level of Service for signalized / unsignalized intersections and analysis methodology can be found in the Appendix B of this report.

ODOT provided turning movement counts for seven of the study intersections, the two remaining were supplied by the City of Independence. A review of traffic count data indicated that City streets traffic activity peaked from 5 pm to 6 pm. Independence traffic is characterized as commuter, with some seasonal changes in traffic and commuting patterns. Accordingly, adjustments to count data taken outside of the peak season were required to ensure that they reflect appropriate conditions for use in assessing conceptual design for improvement options. The count data, turning movement volumes, intersection analysis worksheets and traffic analysis methodology are included in Appendix B. Currently the intersections generally experience minimal delays.

Table 2-8 summarizes the results of intersection operations analysis for existing conditions. As noted in the table, the northbound approach at the intersection of Monmouth Street with 7th Street experiences the greatest delay.
### Table 2-8. 2006 (30 HV) Operations at Key Intersections in Independence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signalized Intersections</th>
<th>V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Average Delay (sec/vehicle)</th>
<th>LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 16th Street</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ Gun Club Road</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsignalized Intersections</th>
<th>Critical Movement</th>
<th>Critical V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Critical Delay (seconds/vehicle)</th>
<th>Critical LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ Gun Club Road Northbound</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ 16th Street Northbound</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 7th Street Northbound</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 7th Street Southbound</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Polk Street Eastbound</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Polk Street Westbound</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Stryker Road Northbound</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Stryker Road Eastbound</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Monmouth St. All-Way Stop (OR 51)</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. @ ‘G’ Street Eastbound</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: LOS means intersection level of service.
Note 2: “Critical Delay” and “Critical LOS” refers to the delay or LOS experienced for the specific intersection traffic movement listed.

### Summary of Existing Roadway System Needs and Deficiencies

The following paragraphs provide a brief summary of existing roadway system needs and deficiencies in the Independence UGB which provides the basis for short-term improvement recommendations.

### Summary of Traffic Operational Needs

There are no significant roadway traffic operational problems within the Independence UGB. However, some noticeable delay is presently being experienced during peak periods for stop-controlled northbound traffic at the intersection of Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) with 7th Street. In addition, efficiency issues are caused by the existence of east/west stop signs on G Street. G Street is a designated collector street, providing an alternative route for local traffic seeking to avoid portions of Monmouth Street (Oregon 51). Replacing G Street’s east/west stop signs with north/south stop signs would increase the usefulness of this road as a local traffic alternative route.
Summary of Roadway Safety Issues

The analysis of existing transportation system conditions included as assessment of existing safety problems within the Independence UGB. In summary, as of 2006 there were no locations along Oregon 51 in the UGB in the top 10 percent of ODOT’s Safety Priority Index System (SPIS). This indicates that there are no significant, high priority roadway improvement needs based on crash experience. Additionally, no major traffic safety issues were reported on the City road network. There are three primary roadway safety issues in the City of Independence:

- **Railroad crossings.** The Willamette & Pacific (W&P) Railroad operates rail transportation services inside the Independence city limits. Safety issues related to railroad operations include four at-grade, unprotected crossings, and pedestrian tripping and bicycling hazards resulting from deteriorated asphalt along the rail line on 2nd Street, between “B” and “E” Streets.

- **Sidewalk and bicycle lane deficiencies.** As noted in the following Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities section, gaps in sidewalks and bicycle lanes exist in various areas within the city, including along the west side of Gun Club Road, a minor arterial within a well populated residential area. These gaps pose a hazard to pedestrians and bicyclists, as well as potential conflicts with auto traffic. Sidewalk deficiencies also include obstructions, such as power poles, blocking portions of some sidewalks and intersections with missing curb cuts, issues which force some wheelchair users into the roadway.

- **Safety conflicts near Central High School.** Highway safety and congestion problems are caused by significant numbers of Central High School students crossing Monmouth Street during the lunch break, including some students who fail to use approved crossings and/or obey traffic signals.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

This section provides a brief summary of the existing bicycle and pedestrian circulation systems and a general assessment of improvement needs.

Bicycle facilities can generally be categorized as bicycle lanes; shared facilities, including widened shoulders; and bicycle paths (also known as multi-use paths). Bicycle lanes are defined as that portion of a street that is designated by striping and pavement markings for the preferential or exclusive use of bicyclists. Shared facilities include locations where the bicyclist and the motorist must share a travel lane, as well as roadway shoulders contiguous to a travel lane where space is shared by bicyclists, pedestrians, emergency use by vehicles and for lateral support of the roadway pavement section. Bicycle paths are physically separated from the vehicle travel lane by an open space or barrier. A bicycle path may be located within the roadway right-of-way or on a separate right-of-way. Bicycle paths are also known as multi-use paths as they can be used by bicyclists, as well as pedestrians, joggers, skaters, and other non-motorized travelers.

The inventory of bicycle and pedestrian facilities in Independence is based on a visual assessment completed by City of Independence staff in the spring of 2007. Bikeway facilities in Independence include bike lanes, shared facilities and 500 feet of a multi-use path (see discussion of Ash Creek Trail, below). Although bicycle facilities are located on the majority of Major and Minor Arterial streets in the Independence UGB (see Figure 6), some portions of these streets presently lack bicycle amenities. Major and Minor Arterials with bike lanes include Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) from the South Fork of Ash Creek Bridge (located between 7th and 8th Street) to the western city limits, and along the entire east side of Gun Club Road. Main Street (Oregon 51) was reconstructed in 2007, and bike lanes now exist on
the east and west sides of Main Street, from Polk Street to Hanna Road, and on the west side of Main Street from Hanna Road to Stryker Road, which is the urban growth boundary. Bike lanes also exist on the east and west sides of the Hoffman Road / Polk Street Corridor, between Ash Street and 16th Street. Currently, the only other roadway with bike lanes in Independence is 16th Street, which has a Collector street designation. 16th Street has bike lanes on its east and west side from Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) to Hoffman Road, and a bike lane on its west side from Monmouth Street to Talmadge Road. The remaining Collector streets, and the Local Service streets, in Independence are shared facilities.

The City of Independence sidewalk system varies from neighborhood to neighborhood (see Figure 7). Sidewalks exist in some of the downtown area and adjacent older neighborhoods, particularly to the north and south of the core area. Additionally, sidewalks are provided in many of the newer subdivisions in the northwestern portion of the city, and along Monmouth Street and the majority of Main Street (Oregon 51). These sidewalks provide connections linking many of the residential areas to such pedestrian attractors as schools, commercial areas and employment opportunities. However, many of the older neighborhoods south of Monmouth Street and in the northeastern portion of the City either do not have sidewalks or have only a limited and disconnected sidewalk system. On the City’s two minor arterials (Gun Club Road and Hoffman Road), sidewalks are limited to one side of the street or not available at all. Sidewalks, meeting ADA standards, are now required as part of all land development projects in Independence, so these facilities will become a part of the future transportation system. Sidewalk deficiencies also include obstructions, such as power poles, blocking portions of some sidewalks and intersections with missing curb cuts. Impacts of sidewalk deficiencies include forcing pedestrians, especially wheelchair users, into the roadway along some travel route. Future development will not in and of itself lead to the removal of obstacles and the addition of future curb cuts.

One significant bicycle and pedestrian amenity that that has been proposed for the City is the Ash Creek Trail. Once complete, the trail will be developed as a multi-use facility for bicycles and pedestrians only and will run along the Ash Creek Greenway corridor north of, and generally parallel to, Oregon 51. The proposed trail alignment aims to provide a transportation alternative to Oregon 51 and Hoffman Road, with connections to other Independence and Monmouth roadways. This trail is more fully defined in the Ash Creek Trail Master Plan. Though the cities of Monmouth and Independence are in the process of constructing the first of three phases of the trail, thus far only 500 feet of trail have been built in Independence, from 16th Street to the east, just north of Talmadge Middle School.

Figure 6 shows those areas of the City which have bicycle lanes and Figure 7 shows those areas that lack sidewalks. The proposed Ash Creek Trail is also shown in both figures. As noted above, most streets in Independence have sidewalks in fair condition while bike lanes exist only along some arterial roadways.

The construction of additional bicycle and pedestrian facilities in Independence is required as streets are improved, if such facilities are unconstrained by physical conditions or existing buildings. Additions of bicycle lanes to higher speed Major and Minor Arterials (>25mph) and high volume Collector streets (>2,000 ADT) are top priority. Additions of sidewalks to arterial and collector streets are a top priority, particularly those near existing schools.
Figure 7
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Public Transit

**Existing Services**

This section describes existing public transit services available in the Independence UGB, including local and intercity public transit service and paratransit services for the elderly and/or people with disabilities.

Within the community, public transit services fulfill dual roles. On one hand, these services provide transportation for those who cannot or choose not to drive their own automobile. On the other hand, the provision of good local transit service is a key measure of quality of life within a community in that, along with walking and bicycling, it provides an alternative to driving. The 1998 Independence TSP identifies goals to encourage the use of alternative travel modes to conserve energy and enhance air quality. Transit can be an important element in the toolbox of strategies to encourage higher density, mixed use development and a more compact form of urban development where driving to meet basic travel needs becomes optional.

Those living within the Independence UGB can access three public transit services through the Oregon Housing and Associated Services, Inc. (OHAS). Polk and Marion Counties are served by the OHAS deviated, fixed-route transit system known as the Chemeketa Area Regional Transportation System (CARTS). While service is available to all members of the public, CARTS service is primarily focused on meeting the inter-regional connectivity needs of senior citizens, disabled and economically disadvantaged residents. CARTS service presently connects the City of Independence with Salem, Monmouth and Dallas. Though CARTS' fixed-route is on Oregon 51 in Independence, CARTS will deviate up to 3/4 mile from their route to provide curb-to-curb service to seniors and those with disabilities.

OHAS surveyed riders on the CARTS system in 2007, but has yet to publish the results. The survey showed that while existing users largely represent low-income populations, CARTS riders come from a variety of age groups and use this service to reach a variety of destination types. The results of this survey, as shown in table 2-9 below, also indicates the mobility importance of the CARTS service. When surveyed while riding on the CARTS system, 51 percent of those surveyed indicated they would not have made the trip they were on if CARTS were not available.

### Table 2-9. 2007 Survey of CARTS Ridership Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Characteristic</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Mode to/from Bus Stop</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 15,000</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>Walk</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000-35,000</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>Transfer from other service</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36,000-50,000</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/No Response</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>Other/No Response</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-30</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-59</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/No Response</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Personal</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>School</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other/No Response</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to CARTS, OHAS provides a Dial-A-Ride service for seniors and people with disabilities who require door-to-door assistance or who live outside the 3/4 mile CART deviated service boundaries. OHAS also manages the Triplink Brokerage, a call center coordinating transit services operated by private providers. The Triplink Brokerage operators provide curb-to-curb service to Medicaid clients traveling to medical trips in Polk, Marion and Yamhill Counties.

There are currently no privately operated bus or rail passenger services in Independence. There are no taxi companies located in Independence, though there is taxi service available to and from Salem. Based on a phone survey of the two Salem taxi companies listed on the internet, taxi service between downtown Independence and downtown Salem costs between $25 and $30, one-way.

**Needs**

Written in 1998, the Polk County TSP concluded that the need for paratransit services for Polk County residents, including Independence residents, would grow as “baby boomers” aged. This has shown to be true, as in 2006, 14.3 percent (or 9,501), of Polk Counties residents were 65 years of age or over, resulting in an increased need for public transit service that provides for basic mobility and commuter service needs.

The Oregon Public Transportation Plan (ODOT, 1997) establishes a transit service vision and specific goals relevant to the City of Independence and Polk County. The plan identifies minimum levels of public transit which provide a range of services intended to keep pace with Oregon’s changing and increasing transit needs. Minimum level of service recommendations are identified by types of service, size of community, and distance from other major intermodal transportation centers (e.g. Portland). For planning purposes, communities are divided into large urban areas, small communities of 25,000 or more, small communities of 2,500 to 25,000, communities of 2,500 or more within 20 miles of an urban central city, and rural and frontier (<2,500) communities.

Table 2-10 below includes the recommended minimum levels of service for Independence (a community of 2,500 to 25,000 within 20 miles of an urban central city-Salem), with an indication of whether the recommendation is met, partially met, or unmet.

| Coordinate intercity senior and disabled services with intercity bus and van services open to the general public | Partially Met |
| Connect local public transportation and senior and disabled service to intercity bus services | Partially Met |
| Provide an accessible ride to anyone requesting service | Partially Met |
| Provide 1.7 annual hours of public transportation service per-capita with fixed-route, dial-a-ride, or other service types | Partially Met |
| Provide at least one accessible vehicle for every 40 hours of service | Partially Met |
| Provide one backup vehicle for every 3.5 vehicles | Partially Met |
| Provide daily peak hour commuter service to the core areas of the central city (Salem, Corvallis, or Albany) | Partially met |
| Provide a guaranteed ride home program to all users of the public transportation system and publicize it well | Unmet |
| Provide park-and-ride facilities along the transit corridors to meet reasonable peak and off-peak demand for such facilities | Unmet |
### Table 2-10. Recommended Minimum Levels of Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Description</th>
<th>Met Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain vehicles and corresponding facilities in a cost-effective manner and replace vehicles when they reach suggested retirement age</td>
<td>Partially Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish ridematching and demand management programs in communities of 5,000 where there are employers with 500 or more workers who are not already covered by a regional ridematching/demand management program</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 2-10 above, several recommended transit services are partially available in Independence.

Recommended services not currently provided include daily peak-hour commuter services to Corvallis and Albany, a guaranteed ride home to users of public transportation, and park-and-ride facilities. Additionally, it is unlikely that the per capita hours of public transit service and fleet requirements are met. Unless additional services are provided, future predicted growth trends will result in an increase in unmet transit needs over time.

**Air Transportation**

**Existing Facilities**

The Independence State Airport is located on the northern edge of the City and accommodates light single- and multi-engine aircraft weighing less than or equal to 12,500 pounds (see Figure 2 for the location of this airport). The airport is owned, operated, and maintained by the Oregon Department of Aviation. The airport has a design capacity of 103,000 aircraft operations per year and about 32,773 operations occurred from October 1995 through 1996 (Aron Faegre & Associates, 1997). The single north-south paved runway is 2,935 feet long by 60 feet wide and had medium intensity runway edge lights. As of 2005, approximately 142 aircraft were based at the airport, consisting of 134 single-engine, 3 multi-engine and 5 ultralights. As of 1999, the airport averaged 87 operations per day, with 71 percent being transient general aviation, 25 percent local general aviation and 4 percent air taxi.

The airport does not have an instrument landing system, so operations are limited to visual flight rules (VFR). There is no scheduled service provided by commercial air carriers.

There is a highly successful residential airpark development located on the east side of the airport. The airpark currently has 90 homes with hangars and has attained national recognition. The zoning within the residential airpark includes a Residential Single Family Airpark Overlay zone, designed to minimize “exposure to crash hazards and high noise levels generated by air field operations by encouraging development which is compatible with the continued operation of airfields, and established airpark development” (Independence Development Code).

The City of Independence also has an Airport Safety and Compatibility Overlay Zone, intended to “support the continued operation and vitality of public use airports with only visual approaches by establishing compatibility and safety standards to promote air navigational safety at Independence State Airport and to reduce potential safety hazards for

---


persons living, working or recreating near such public use airports.” The Overlay Zone includes an airport approach zone, a fan-shaped area extending from the end of the runway for a distance of 4,000 feet and to a width of 1,250. The Overlay Zone also includes an airport clear zone, a fan-shaped area extending from the edge of the airport for a distance of 1,000 feet and to a width of 312.5 feet. The width of both zones at the end of the runway is 250 feet. The northern zones are located mainly in Polk County but the southern zones extend over Independence. The southerly clear zone extends to about 500 feet south of Hoffman Road and the southerly approach zone extends to Monmouth Street (Oregon 51).

**Existing and Future Airport Needs**

The Draft Independence State Airport: Airport Layout Plan (Aaron Faegre & Assoc., 1997) recommended a variety of improvements to the existing facility and projected future aircraft operations at the airport. Improvements needed to accommodate increased use of the airport were also given. The report should be consulted for complete information regarding the existing and future airport needs and recommendations.

The Airport Layout Plan forecast airport operations to increase from 32,773 to 50,400 per year, an increase of 54 percent. This level of operation corresponds to 7,560 operations per peak month, and 252 operations on an average day in the peak month. The total capacity of the airport was estimated at 97,000 operations, which means that by 2015 the airport will be operating at 56 percent of facility capacity.

A variety of maintenance, reconstruction, and new construction activities were also recommended including pavement maintenance, taxiway and main apron expansions, and the extension of Runway 16-34. The extension would involve adding 540 feet to the north end of Runway 16.

Currently, the airport is at capacity for land side facilities, with all available airport owned property utilized, including all available hangar space. It was recommended in the Airport Layout Plan that the airport owner acquire and reserve about 41 acres along the west side of Runway 16-34 for future general aviation parking and hangar development.

Other recommendations, as excepted from the 1998 TSP, would require specific actions by the City of Independence. They involve maintaining an airport overlay zone which coincides with the future approach surfaces and FAR Park 77 surfaces. Airport overlay zoning should conform with the Oregon Department of Aviation’s guidelines.

- Incorporate the Airport Layout Plan into the City of Independence Comprehensive Plans; and
- Designate Runway 34 as the calm wind runway in order to minimize noise exposure on nearby residential areas south of the airport. In addition to designating a calm wind runway, a review of airport operating procedures should be conducted to ensure that appropriate noise abatement procedures and standard traffic pattern elevations and locations are being utilized at the airport.

Independence supports the Independence Airport, but given the anticipated increase in future operations, which will reach approximately half of the airport capacity, the City believes that the conflicts between the airport and adjacent land uses will increase. As aircraft operations increase, so do the noise contour boundaries. While the City can discourage new inappropriate land uses from locating too close to the airport, existing residential areas will ultimately be affected by increases in airport operations. Independence believes there is a need to evaluate the impact of airport growth on the community and plan to minimize conflicts. Given such concerns, the City strongly supports reviews of, and changes in, operating procedures that result in lower noise impacts to the community.
Railroad Transportation

Existing Rail Facilities and Operations

Rail transportation service is currently provided in the City of Independence by the Willamette & Pacific (W&P) Railroad, which generally runs north-south through the community in the middle of the 2nd Street alignment. In 1993, W&P signed a 20-year lease covering 185 miles of Union Pacific (UP) Railroad branch lines in the western Willamette Valley. In 1995, Portland & Western (P&W) Railroad, a sister company, was formed to lease additional UP branches in the valley. The two railroads, which do business under the P&W banner, have since grown to operate 576 miles of railroad in northwestern Oregon, representing 20 percent of the state’s rail system.

According to ODOT, in 1998, the line through Independence handled about 1 million gross ton miles yearly (a gross ton mile is one ton hauled one mile), a measurement that includes the weight of cars, contents, and locomotives. Since late 2002, when P&W leased the parallel Oregon Electric line on the east side of the Willamette River from BNSF Railway, traffic through Independence has declined somewhat from the 1998 level.

P&W’s (and W&P’s) goal is to maintain its Independence trackage, at a minimum, to Federal Railroad Administration Class 2 standards, which permits freight train operation at 25 mph, and passenger train operation at 30 mph. No rail passenger service is currently provided in Independence. The line provides freight service for a large variety of commodities including forest products, iron and steel products, feed grains, fertilizers, and some manufactured consumables, including food products.

During 2006 and entering into 2007, W&P has consistently operated one southbound and one northbound train daily, seven days each week along the line through Independence. The railroad operations are dictated by the needs of customers and actual schedules may vary from week-to-week. According to ODOT, the average size of these trains is around 50 cars and three locomotives, or 4,000 feet in length.

Due to the condition of the track, rail operations along the 2nd Street alignment are currently limited to 10 mph along this portion of the line. According to ODOT’s Rail Division, the optimal train speed through Independence would be 25 mph. Any train speed increases in Independence will raise the importance of the road crossing safety issues described below.

Rail Crossings

There are approximately 2.5 miles of track within the Independence UGB (see Figure 2), with nine at-grade street crossings. The rail line runs along the eastern edge of town, traversing some of the oldest sections of the City. The track runs down 2nd Street, and this section is about ¼ mile in length. At-grade crossings are located at:

- Stryker Road (gated with flashing lights);
- Polk Street (gated with flashing lights);
- Williams Street (gated with flashing lights);
- ‘B’ Street (passive-sign protected);
- ‘C’ Street (passive-sign protected);
- Monmouth Street (gated with flashing lights);
- ‘D’ Street (passive-sign protected);
- ‘E’ Street (passive-sign protected); and
- ‘G’ Street (gated with flashing lights).

The rail route runs parallel to one arterial (Main Street) and crosses two other arterials (Monmouth Street and Polk Street) and a collector street (Stryker Road). The configuration of the railroad, and arterial and collector streets does result in temporary interruptions on the
major east-west routes when trains pass through Independence. Interruptions in east-west traffic on Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) also leads to interruptions to north-south traffic on Main Street (Oregon 51).

Historically, there have been problems between the community of Independence and the railroad operators regarding line maintenance, especially pavement maintenance on 2nd Street. The community has long endured minimal response to its concerns from the former railroad owners. The trackway down the middle of 2nd Street is of particular importance to the community because of safety concerns and due to wear and tear on the roadway surface. Other community issues include the speed of trains through town; the frequency of railroad crossings, particularly the passively protected crossings; and compromised emergency response capabilities should a train become stalled on the tracks and block crossings. The fire and police stations are located west of the track, which gives them access to most of the city. However, trains can delay and / or cause detours for emergency vehicles trying to reach the eastern edge of town, including the downtown, waterfront park, residences and businesses.

**Rail Improvement Needs**

This section is largely excerpted from the 1998 TSP that evaluated the rail freight and rail passenger needs in Independence during the 20-year planning period. This information can be used to suggest ways that conflict between adjacent land uses and other transportation modes can be minimized and the future function of the railroad can be protected.

**Existing Improvement Needs**

Existing railroad needs include trackage improvement, at-grade crossing closures, minimization of future at-grade crossings, and minimization of conflicts with other transportation modes (mainly auto) and adjacent land uses. Particularly, 2nd Street between “B” and “E” downtown contains trackage that runs down the center of the roadway. The impact of heavy trains and insufficient pavement structure results in the rapid deterioration and poor condition of the pavement. Public safety, maintenance improvements, property access and emergency response capability have been identified as existing concerns.

**Growth in Freight Rail**

Rail freight traffic through Independence is predicted to increase marginally during the next 20 years. While P&W expects to see significant overall growth in traffic on its system, the Oregon Electric line across the river from Independence has displaced the western Willamette Valley line via Independence as the core north-south route. For the next 10 years, ODOT-Rail expects the west side Independence line to be the preferred conduit for rail transportation into and out of Polk and Yamhill Counties, even though a northern route exists between Newberg and the Portland area via Rex Hill. Rex Hill, however, is beset with heavy grades and curvature and various degrees of deferred track and bridge maintenance. P&W keeps the line serviceable as a detour for the Oregon Electric line; otherwise Rex Hill does not see regular daily use. Consequently, rail traffic through Independence is expected to mirror current volumes of one daily through train each direction seven days a week, augmented with switch engine visits from either Corvallis or McMinnville as dictated by needs of local businesses receiving freight by rail.

Longer term, 2027 and later, regional expansion of Portland-area commuter rail service can be expected to reach McMinnville via Rex Hill, and possibly be extended to Independence and Corvallis. If commuter rail service reaches Independence, the west side rail line through Independence will be upgraded from a slow-speed freight-only railroad into a relatively high-speed, well-maintained passenger line. In its improved state, the line will continue to carry freight indigenous to Polk and Yamhill Counties. Some additional through freight is likely to use the route as well, as public capital invested for passenger improvements will mitigate barriers that discourage through freight movements today.
The Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP) estimated rail freight increases for the state system at 2.5% per year for an increase of 60% in 20 years. How much of this rail freight growth Independence might see will depend mainly upon what public policies for improving rail passenger transportation are adopted and where they are applied. Public policies aside, projections of freight movement and volume are difficult because they are based on many unpredictable factors such as international trade patterns, competition, federal policies, foreign events, and customer needs.

**Future Rail Improvement Needs**

Although railroad activity should remain relatively flat or increase slightly during the next 20 years, increases in vehicular traffic could result in more railroad-related conflicts and could impair the function of the railroad and other elements of the transportation system. Railroads are beneficial to communities and careful planning can minimize conflicts. Typically, conflicts between railroad and urban area activities fall into six general types:

1. Safety;
2. Delays and increased operating costs for highway users;
3. Community barriers;
4. Environmental degradation;
5. Incompatible or inappropriate land use; and
6. Increased railroad operating costs and reduced efficiency (ODOT, 1994).

Future railroad needs are similar to existing railroad needs and include trackage improvement, minimization of future at-grade crossings, and minimization of conflicts with other transportation modes (mainly auto) and certain adjacent land uses. Public safety, property access, and emergency response capability will be future concerns. Given existing growth in Independence and rail freight volume, the issues and needs will increase over time.

Presently, it is expected that vacant industrial lands in Independence will be used by smaller businesses that typically do not ship by rail. Independence does not envision much local business and industrial use of the railroad during the next twenty years. However, the community recognizes the importance of rail to the regional economy and the potential for public transportation uses, and other unforeseen uses that may develop during the next 40 years. Therefore, the community believes that current and future needs center around conflict minimization that protects the future functionality of the railroad and reduces ongoing conflicts with through-town train travel.

**Potential for Passenger Rail Service**

The Oregon Rail Passenger Policy and Plan (Cambridge Systematics, Inc., 1992) is a comprehensive long-range plan for rail passenger service that focused on intercity rail options, including commuter rail. One of the service corridors evaluated connected communities along the west side of the Willamette Valley. This route, called the Willamette Valley Interurban Service corridor, is the existing W&P / P&W Railroad which, at one time, connected Eugene and Portland via Monroe and Corvallis. The proposed service was planned to have more frequent stops, operate at lower speeds, and use electric propulsion. The study concluded by favoring improved conventional rail service and upgrading the high-speed rail corridor on Southern Pacific’s (now UP’s) main line, located east of the Willamette River. However, the study recommended the Interurban Service corridor should be considered in more depth as a part of transportation planning for the Willamette Valley. Cost-benefit comparisons between interurban train service and intercity bus service should be made. As mentioned earlier, while it is unlikely that rail passenger service to Independence will become viable during this planning period, it is logical and far-sighted to allow for the possibility in the future.
Pipeline and Water Transportation

There are no regional pipelines in Independence. Monmouth’s water mainline is carried on the Independence Bridge (owned and maintained by Marion County) across the Willamette River and traverses across the City on easements located on the old Valley and Siletz Railroad right-of-way. The sewage outfall lines of Monmouth and Independence join near the sewage lagoons. The outfall line is located north of Ash Creek and discharges to the Willamette River.

Independence does not currently have water transportation modes within the UGB. The City has a grant to study the feasibility of developing water transportation services as a part of recreational use of the Willamette River. The recreational planning focuses on connections between the riverfront parks in downtown Independence and Salem. The grant is supporting a bathymetric survey of the river to identify shoaled areas between Salem and Independence. This information will be used to identify suitable water-transport craft types and evaluate the location and amount of dredging that would be required to develop channels for larger crafts.

2.4 DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES

This section documents the analysis of anticipated future land development activities within the Independence UGB, and the growth in traffic volumes associated with this development. Included in this section are the following:

- Assessment of future growth for the planning horizon year of 2026 by land use type for each traffic analysis zone established within the UGB. A traffic analysis zone (or TAZ) is a relatively homogeneous geographic area for which demographic, socio-economic and land use data is aggregated for use in travel demand forecasting.
- Development of future intersection turning movement projections for the PM peak hour in 2026 at nine key intersections identified and previously discussed under “Existing Conditions.”
- Evaluation of traffic operating performance at each intersection and identification of improvement needs.
- Assessment of two TSP alternatives including: No-Action and a System Improvement Alternative. An additional, longer-term alternative was also developed and assessed based on more intense and expansive land development assumptions.

2026 Land Development Expectations

The purpose of this section is to provide a summary of potential future land use and development expectations in the City over the next 20 years to the planning horizon of 2026. The section includes a description of proposed traffic analysis zones (TAZs) for use in developing traffic projections, and provides data tables that describe future land use and development expectations.

Traffic Analysis Zones

The area within the City of Independence’s UGB has been divided into 16 TAZs (see Figure 8). Polk County tax assessor data, along with the Independence Comprehensive Plan designations, have been gathered for each TAZ for the 2005 analysis base year. This data formed the foundation for estimating expected growth in residential, commercial, and industrial development within each TAZ between 2005 and 2026. This development data was used to generate estimated future trips, traveling to and from each TAZ, which were subsequently assigned to the surrounding street system to assess the impacts of traffic volume growth. The boundaries of each TAZ were selected based upon a variety of factors, including the location of major streets, the location of study intersections, and existing land uses.
Development of 2026 Community Data

The development of 2026 community land use data was based on the methodology and constrained land information contained in the City of Independence Buildable Lands and Land Needs Report published by the Mid-Willamette Valley Council of Governments (COG) in 2000, coupled with data from the City of Independence and Polk County. Local government data sources included the 2005 Polk County tax assessor data, Polk County’s estimate of future (2026) population for Independence, the Independence Comprehensive Plan designations, and aerial reconnaissance. This data and methodology were used to estimate future acreage of residential, commercial, and industrial development by TAZ.

The steps in this analysis process included:

1. Calculating the number of gross vacant acres by Comprehensive Plan designation,
2. Calculating the number of gross buildable acres by subtracting:
   a. Physically constrained land that preclude future development, and
   b. Land currently utilized for commercial, residential, or industrial buildings or uses, as identified through aerial reconnaissance and the Polk County tax assessor data.
3. Calculating the number of net residential buildable acres by:
   a. Subtracting land for future public facilities, such as rights-of-way, and
   b. Reducing the estimate of net buildable residential acres to be consistent with Polk County’s 2026 Independence population estimate.

The following definitions and assumptions, borrowed from the COG report, were used in this analysis:

- **Vacant land** includes all commercial, industrial and residential parcels that are at least 5,000 square feet (.11 acres) in size with improvement values of less than $5,000, as indicated by Polk County Tax Assessor data and the City of Independence Comprehensive Plan.
- **Within the City limits, partially vacant land** consists of residential parcels that are at least .5 acres in size with an improvement value of at least $5,000, as indicated by Polk County Tax Assessor data. This analysis assumes that .25 acres of each of these residential parcels is devoted to the existing house, with the remainder considered vacant.
- **Within the UGB but outside the City limits, partially vacant land** consists of residential parcels that are at least 1 acre in size with improvement values of at least $5,000, as indicated by Polk County Tax Assessor data. This analysis assumes that .5 acres of each of these residential parcels is devoted to the existing house, with the remainder considered vacant. The larger area attributed to these existing homes is intended to account for the presence of larger homes and an adjacent septic system serving the residences outside the City limits.
- **Physically constrained land** is considered unbuildable and is subtracted from the amount of gross vacant acres. For this analysis, physically constrained land includes wetlands, riparian buffers along Ash Creek, floodways identified on FEMA’s Flood Insurance Rate Map, and areas with slopes in excess of 25 percent, as identified in the COG report.
- **Public lands** include land for public facilities such as municipal buildings and schools, and land for right-of-way, utilities, parks and open space. This analysis assumes that 27 percent of the gross buildable residential land will be dedicated for use as public facilities.
The following additional assumption was utilized for this report:

- Commercial and industrial development is assumed to occur on all appropriately planned gross buildable acreage.

- Residential development is assumed to occur on all appropriately planned gross buildable acreage, minus a population adjustment. The 2026 Polk County population estimate is 10,803, a 3,288 increase over the 2005 population of 7,515. Full residential buildout on all appropriately planned gross residential buildable acreage, utilizing the COG report’s assumed 3.17 people per residential unit estimate, would produce a 2026 population increase of 7,553 (for a total 2026 population of 15,068). To make this development analysis consistent with the official Polk County 2026 population estimate, the net residential buildable acreage for each TAZ was reduced by 43.5 percent.

Table 2-11 shows the estimated acres of gross buildable and net buildable acreage, by Comprehensive Plan designation and TAZ, based on the above data sources, assumptions, and methodology. TAZ number 3 had no estimated development. Section B-4 of Appendix B identifies the net buildable acreage within each TAZ as “Buildable Lands.” It is important to note that many of the residential parcels identified as “Buildable Lands” are partially vacant parcels which include an existing residence.

### Table 2-11. Future (2026) Community Development Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Analysis Zone</th>
<th>Comprehensive Plan Designation</th>
<th>Gross Buildable Acreage</th>
<th>Net Buildable Acreage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>28.90</td>
<td>28.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medium Density Residential</td>
<td>20.13</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Medium Density Residential</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>High Density Residential</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium Density Residential</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>29.37</td>
<td>29.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Medium Density Residential</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Medium Density Residential</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
<td>53.53</td>
<td>17.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>High Density Residential</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>High Density Residential</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition to the 2026 estimate of buildable acreage, an estimate of buildable acreage was also developed assuming full build-out of all industrial, commercial, and residentially designated land within the existing Independence UGB. The difference between the 2026 estimate and the full build-out estimate is that the full build-out estimate is not constrained by Polk County’s official population projections for the City of Independence. The full build-out estimate assumes that the 43.5 percent of net residential buildable acreage that remained vacant in the 2026 projections would actually be developed for a target year beyond the planning horizon of the TSP (2042 was identified as the likely year of build-out). As discussed later in this section, full build-out analysis was used to determine what additional transportation improvements might be needed beyond the 20-year time frame of the TSP.

**Summary of Alternatives**

As noted above, two alternatives were evaluated for this TSP: a No Action Alternative and a System Improvement Alternative, both of which are focused on the 2026 planning horizon year. In addition, a land use alternative was developed to assess the implications of community development beyond the TSP’s planning period. The 2026 System Improvement Alternative represents full build-out of available industrial and commercial designated developable lands, and partial build-out of available developable residentially designated lands. The additional alternative represents full build-out of all developable land with commercial, industrial and residential designations. For planning purposes, this alternative was forecasted to occur by 2042.

Key elements of the No-Action and System Improvement Alternatives are presented below.
**No-Action Alternative**

This alternative assumes that no changes would occur to existing goals and policies, roadway functional classification, and roadway design standards. Local roads will be built as development occurs and the existing ordinances and standards would apply. Roadway maintenance will occur as needed in the community, but no significant improvements to the arterial or collector roadway system would be included. Bikeway, walkway, public transportation, airport, and water transportation needs will be guided by existing language in the Comprehensive Plans and other planning documents.

**System Improvement Alternative**

The system improvement alternative is intended to meet transportation system needs by formulating an overall plan that the City implements as growth and funding allows. Although the focus is on the street network, the other modes are also considered. This alternative includes long-term incremental improvements and expansion of all facets of the existing system. Independence would also continue to work closely with Monmouth, Polk County and ODOT in the development and implementation of the system improvement alternative.

**Future Traffic Operations**

Future traffic operations analysis was conducted for the two TSP alternatives, as well as for the 2042 land development alternative, both with and without necessary and appropriate improvements.

Future traffic volumes were estimated according to the Oregon Department of Transportation’s (ODOT) Transportation Analysis Procedures Manual (APM) (2006) guidelines for cumulative analysis. A more detailed discussion of the traffic forecasting methodology, key assumptions and copies of intersection analysis worksheets are included in Appendix B.

**No-Action Alternative Improvement Needs**

Table 2-12 summarizes the analysis results associated with the No-Action Alternative for the key study area intersections in the 2026 PM peak hour. Included in the table are the anticipated volume-to-capacity ratios based on projected future volumes and assuming no roadway system improvements; average delay per vehicle; level of service based on average delay; and a comparison between the projected traffic operations and the applicable threshold for identifying an operational problem. These thresholds vary depending upon roadway jurisdiction (e.g., City, County and/or ODOT) and location of the intersection based on the ODOT highway classification system for Oregon 51 (see Figure 3 for these classifications and Table 2-5 for specific standards). It should be noted that for city operated intersections, a volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.80 has been used to identify operational problems. This standard is recommended for adoption based on this TSP as described in Chapter 3.

As indicated in the table, six of the nine key study intersections would likely experience operational failure resulting in long delays to the traveling public. Locations that exceed their applicable threshold are identified in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signalized Intersections</th>
<th>V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Average Delay (sec/veh.)</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>Operations Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 16th Street</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ Gun Club Road</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2-12. 2026 No-Action Alternative, Intersection Operations Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsignalized Intersections</th>
<th>Critical Movement</th>
<th>Critical V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Critical Delay (sec/veh)</th>
<th>Critical LOS</th>
<th>Operations Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ Gun Club Road</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.80 ((^1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ 16th Street</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>LOS C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 7th Street</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ Polk Street</td>
<td>Southbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ Polk Street</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ Polk Street</td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Stryker Road</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Stryker Road</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Monmouth St. (OR 51)</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. @ 'G' Street</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: LOS means intersection level of service.
Note 2: ‘Critical Delay” and “Critical LOS” refers to the delay or LOS experienced for the specific intersection traffic movement listed

\(^1\): Recommended standard.

Following is a summary of each intersections anticipated to experience operational problems based on 2026 traffic forecasts for the No Action Alternative:

- **Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at Gun Club Road**: As an intersection located on a district level highway with a posted speed limit of <35 mph, the applicable operation standard is a maximum .90 V/C. Anticipated operations would exceed a V/C of >1.00. Major problem movements include all westbound and southbound movements at this signalized intersection.
- **Hoffman Road at Gun Club Road**: This location would only slightly exceed the proposed V/C threshold of 0.80 for the northbound, stop-controlled movement and is not considered to be a problem due to the potential variability of the forecasts. However, this location should be monitored over time to ensure that operational problems do not develop.
- **Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at 7th Street**: As an intersection located on a district level highway with a posted speed limit of <35 mph, the applicable operation standard is a maximum .90 V/C. Anticipated operations would result in unacceptable northbound and southbound critical movements that exceed a V/C of 1.00.
- **Main Street (Oregon 51) at Polk Street**: As an intersection located on a district level highway with an Urban Business Area (UBA) segment designation, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.90. The No-Action Alternative would result in an unacceptable eastbound critical movement V/C of 0.99 and an acceptable westbound critical movement V/C of 0.72.
• Main Street (Oregon 51) at Monmouth Street (Oregon 51). As an intersection located on a
district level highway with a Special Transportation Area (STA) segment designation,
the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.95. Anticipated operations
would result in an unacceptable northbound and eastbound critical movement V/C of
>1.00.

• Main Street (Oregon 51) at “G” Street. As a City of Independence major arterial, the
recommended operational standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. As shown on Table 2-11,
the No-Action Alternative would result in an unacceptable V/C of >1.00.

**System Improvement Alternative**

Table 2-13 summarizes the analysis results associated with the 2026 System Improvement
Alternative for the key study area intersections in the planning horizon PM peak hour. A key
element of this improvement alternative is the development of a new east/west arterial street
connecting Corvallis Road in Independence with Oregon 99W in Monmouth. This new
east/west arterial street would be south of, and parallel to, Monmouth Street (Oregon 51).
Currently, about half of the traffic volume on Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) represents
through trips with origins and destinations outside of Independence. The development of this
new east/west arterial, along with some anticipated traffic diversion to the Polk
Street/Hoffman Road corridor, will result in a potentially significant diversion of existing and
future traffic away from Monmouth Street (Oregon 51), thus reducing many of the
anticipated operational problems. It is estimated that 80 percent of the through trips would
divert from Monmouth Street (Oregon 51), which would represent about 70 percent of the
traffic volume using the new arterial roadway.

Another significant project is a new directional circulator that will divert southbound to
westbound Oregon 51 traffic away from the Main and Monmouth Street Intersection. The
diverted traffic would travel westbound down “C” street, an existing one-way street, then turn
southbound, somewhere between 2nd Street and 5th Street, to reconnect with Oregon 51
(Monmouth Street) west of the intersection with Main Street. This project protects the
operations of the Monmouth Street / Main Street (Oregon 51) intersection.

As indicated in the table, all nine key study intersections would likely meet ODOT and City
operating standards, including the intersection of Hoffman Road at 16th Street for which the
applicable Polk County standard is assumed to be LOS C per the current county TSP.

A discussion of the proposed improvements along with a comparison between expected
operations and street standards for the intersections follows:

• Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at 16th Street: As an intersection located on a district level
highway with a posted speed limit of \( \leq 35 \) mph, the applicable operation standard is a
maximum .90 V/C. With the significant diversion of traffic away from Monmouth Street,
the anticipated V/C would be 0.52. No improvements are recommended at this location.

| Table 2-13. 2026 System Improvement Alternative, Intersection Operations Analysis |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Signalized Intersections        | V/C Ratio       | Average Delay   | Operations      |
|                                 |                 | (sec/veh.)      | Threshold       |
| Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 16th Street | 0.52            | 29.3            | C               |
| Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ Gun Club Road | 0.65            | 31.5            | C               |
### Table 2-13. 2026 System Improvement Alternative, Intersection Operations Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsignalized Intersections</th>
<th>Critical Movement</th>
<th>Critical V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Critical Delay (sec/veh,)</th>
<th>Critical LOS</th>
<th>Operations Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ Gun Club Road</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.80 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ 16th Street</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LOS C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 7th Street</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 7th Street</td>
<td>Southbound</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Polk Street</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Stryker Road</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Stryker Road</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Monmouth St. (OR 51)</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. @ ‘G’ Street</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. @ ‘G’ Street</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.80 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1:** LOS means intersection level of service. **Note 2:** “Critical Delay” and “Critical LOS” refers to the delay or LOS experienced for the specific intersection traffic movement listed. **(1)** Recommended standard.

- Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at Gun Club Road: As an intersection located on a district level highway with a posted speed limit of <35 mph, the applicable operation standard is a maximum .90 V/C. With the addition of a southbound left turn lane, an acceptable V/C of 0.65 is anticipated. [Note: a southbound left turn lane was added to this intersection after this analysis was completed].

- Hoffman Road at Gun Club Road: As a City of Independence minor arterial, the recommended operational standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. The addition of a northbound left turn lane at this intersection would result in an acceptable V/C of 0.51.

- Hoffman Road at 16th Street. As a Polk County roadway, the applicable operation standard is a minimum of LOS C, which is met. No improvements are recommended at this location.

- Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at 7th Street. As an intersection located on a district level highway with a posted speed limit of <35 mph, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. The addition of a northbound left turn lane and a reduction in through traffic volumes along Monmouth Street associated with the new east/west arterial road would result in an acceptable V/C of 0.57 for northbound traffic and 0.49 southbound for southbound traffic.

- Main Street (Oregon 51) at Polk Street. As an intersection located on a district level highway with a Urban Business Area (UBA) segment designation, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.90. The addition of an eastbound left turn lane would result in an acceptable eastbound critical movement V/C of 0.47 and an acceptable westbound critical movement V/C of 0.47.
Main Street (Oregon 51) at Stryker Road. As an intersection located on a district level highway with an Urban Business Area (UBA) segment designation, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.90. While no improvements would be required at this intersection, a reduction in through traffic volumes along Main Street would result in an acceptable northbound critical movement V/C of 0.02 and an acceptable eastbound critical movement V/C of 0.51.

Main Street (Oregon 51) at Monmouth Street (Oregon 51). As an intersection located on a district level highway with a Special Transportation Area (STA) segment designation, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.95. Development of the proposed directional circulator would help to reduce southbound right turning volumes at this location along with the reduction in through volumes along Monmouth Street attributable to the proposed east/west arterial road on the south side of town. It is anticipated that these improvements would result in an acceptable northbound critical movement V/C of 0.71 and acceptable eastbound critical movement V/C of 0.35.

Main Street (Oregon 51) at “G” Street. As a City of Independence major arterial, the recommended operational standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. With the addition of an eastbound left turn lane and the diversion of through traffic to the new east/west arterial, it is expected that this intersection would operate at an acceptable V/C of 0.43.

2042 Full Build-out Alternative (Without Improvements)

Table 2-14 summarizes the analysis results associated with the Full UGB Build-out Alternative (without Improvements) for the key study area intersections in the 2042 PM peak hour. As indicated in the table, only three key study intersections would likely meet ODOT and City operating standards. This alternative would likely result in operational failure in many locations, resulting in long delays to the traveling public.

Following is a summary of each intersections anticipated to experience operational problems based on 2042 traffic forecasts for the No Action Alternative:

- Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at Gun Club Road: As an intersection located on a district level highway with a posted speed limit of ≤35 mph, the applicable operation standard is a maximum .90 V/C. Anticipated operations would exceed a V/C of >1.00. Major problem movements include all westbound and southbound movements at this signalized intersection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signalized Intersections</th>
<th>V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Average Delay (sec/veh.)</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>Operations Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 16th Street</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ Gun Club Road</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsignalized Intersections</th>
<th>Critical Movement</th>
<th>Critical V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Critical Delay (sec/veh.)</th>
<th>Critical LOS</th>
<th>Operations Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ Gun Club Road Northbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.80 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ 16th Street Northbound</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LOS C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-14. 2042 Full UGB Build-out Alternative (Without Improvements), Intersection Operations Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>V/C</th>
<th>F/L</th>
<th>LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 7th St.</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Polk St.</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Stryker Rd</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Monmouth St. (OR 51)</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. @ ‘G’ St.</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: LOS means intersection level of service.
Note 2: “Critical Delay” and “Critical LOS” refers to the delay or LOS experienced for the specific intersection traffic movement listed (1) Recommended standard.

- **Hoffman Road at Gun Club Road:** As a City of Independence minor arterial, the recommended operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. With the expected increase in traffic volumes by 2042, this intersection is anticipated to operate with an unacceptable V/C of >1.00 for the northbound stop-controlled movement.
- **Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at 7th Street:** As an intersection located on a district level highway with a posted speed limit of <35 mph, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. With Full UGB Build-out Alternative (without Improvements) an unacceptable V/C of >1.00 would be experienced for northbound and southbound critical movements.
- **Main Street (Oregon 51) at Polk Street:** As an intersection located on a district level highway with a Urban Business Area (UBA) segment designation, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.90. With the Full UGB Build-out Alternative (without improvements) this intersection is expected to operate with a V/C of >1.00 for the critical eastbound and westbound movements.
- **Main Street (Oregon 51) at Monmouth Street (Oregon 51):** As an intersection located on a district level highway with a Special Transportation Area (STA) segment designation, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.95. With the Full UGB Build-out Alternative (without improvements) this intersection would operate for a V/C in excess of 1.00 for both the northbound and eastbound critical movements.
- **Main Street (Oregon 51) at “G” Street:** As a City of Independence major arterial, the recommended operational standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. With Full UGB Build-out and no system improvements, the intersection is expected to operate with an unacceptable V/C of >1.00.

**2042 Full Build-out Alternative (With Improvements)**

Table 2-15 summarizes the analysis results associated with the Full Build-out Alternative (With Improvements) for key study area intersections in the 2042 PM peak hour. As indicated in the table, all nine key study intersections would likely meet ODOT and City operating standards.
A discussion of the proposed improvements along with a comparison between expected operations and street standards for the intersections follows:

- Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at 16th Street: With the significant diversion of traffic away from Monmouth Street onto the proposed new east/west arterial, the anticipated V/C would be 0.61. No improvements are recommended at this location.

- Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at Gun Club Road: To mitigate anticipated 2026 traffic operational problems the addition of a southbound left turn was proposed. This improvement would be sufficient to meet the expected increase in traffic volumes by 2042. Analysis results show an acceptable V/C of 0.74. [Note: a southbound left turn lane was added to this intersection after this analysis was completed].

- Hoffman Road at Gun Club Road: As a City of Independence minor arterial, the recommended operational standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. The addition of a northbound left turn lane at this intersection would result in an acceptable V/C of 0.64.

- Hoffman Road at 16th Street. As a Polk County roadway, the applicable operation standard is a minimum of LOS C, which is met. No improvements are recommended at this location.

### Table 2-15. 2042 Full UGB Build-out Alternative (With Improvements), Intersection Operations Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signalized Intersections</th>
<th>V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Average Delay (sec/veh.)</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>Operations Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 16th Street</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ Gun Club Road</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Polk Street</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Monmouth St. (OR 51)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsignalized Intersections</th>
<th>Critical Movement</th>
<th>Critical V/C Ratio</th>
<th>Critical Delay (sec/veh.)</th>
<th>Critical LOS</th>
<th>Operations Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ Gun Club Road</td>
<td>Northbound Left</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.80 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Road @ 16th Street</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LOS C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth St. (OR 51) @ 7th Street</td>
<td>Northbound Left</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southbound</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. (OR 51) @ Stryker Road</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St. @ ‘G’ Street</td>
<td>Eastbound Left</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.80 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: LOS means intersection level of service.
Note 2: “Critical Delay” and “Critical LOS” refers to the delay or LOS experienced for the specific intersection traffic movement listed

(1) Recommended standard.
• Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) at 7th Street. As an intersection located on a district level highway with a posted speed limit of <35 mph, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. The addition of a northbound left turn lane and a reduction in through traffic volumes along Monmouth Street associated with the new east/west arterial road would result in an acceptable V/C of 0.61 for northbound traffic and 0.71 southbound for southbound traffic.

• Main Street (Oregon 51) at Polk Street. As an intersection located on a district level highway with an Urban Business Area (UBA) segment designation, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.90. Analysis indicates that the appropriate improvement to accommodate 2042 peak hour traffic volumes would be the installation of a traffic signal. This improvement would result in an acceptable V/C of 0.79 for the overall intersection.

• Main Street (Oregon 51) at Monmouth Street (Oregon 51). As an intersection located on a district level highway with a Special Transportation Area (STA) segment designation, the applicable operation standard is a maximum V/C of 0.95. Development of the proposed directional circulator would help to reduce southbound right turning volumes at this location along with the reduction in through volumes along Monmouth Street attributable to the proposed east/west arterial road on the south side of town. In addition, to accommodate 2042 peak period volumes, installation of a traffic signal would be appropriate. It is anticipated that these improvements would result in an acceptable V/C of 0.79 for the overall intersection.

• Main Street (Oregon 51) at “G” Street. As a City of Independence major arterial, the recommended operational standard is a maximum V/C of 0.80. With the addition of an eastbound left turn lane and the diversion of through traffic to the new east/west arterial, it is expected that this intersection would operate at an acceptable V/C of 0.49.

Summary of Future Roadway Capacity Improvement Needs

The analysis of existing and future transportation needs showed that as growth and development occur in Independence and the Willamette Valley, improvements to and expansions of the existing transportation system will be necessary. Identified needs include:

• Increasing capacity on the street network;
• Developing alternative collector / arterial routes to move traffic across and through town;
• Providing access to new developments, reducing conflicts between adjacent land uses and rail and air modes;
• Protecting the function of rail and air modes;
• Improving the existing walkways;
• Amending and implementing the bikeway plan; and
• Meeting growing and changing public transportation needs.

The No-Action Alternative is unacceptable because it fails to provide a safe, convenient, and economic transportation system. Independence is projected to grow significantly during the next twenty years and a piecemeal approach to transportation system planning will not result in the integrated network that is needed. It is crucial that Independence develop collector and arterial routes that provide alternatives for through and cross-town traffic and coordinate with the Monmouth, Polk County and ODOT systems. Railroad and airport modes and adjacent land uses and other transportation modes will also be better accommodated by the System Improvement Alternative.
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3. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN

This section changes existing transportation goals and policies, and transportation system elements, which will improve the transportation system and meet the transportation needs of the community for the next twenty years. The section begins with the general transportation goals and objectives, followed by a plan for each system element.

3.1 TRANSPORTATION GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

The following goals and policies were developed from the transportation goals and polices articulated in the Independence Comprehensive Plan, and were included nearly verbatim in the 1998 Independence Comprehensive Plan. They reflect state and federal legislation, as well as growth-related changes that have occurred in the City over the past few years. These goals and policies represent the community’s vision of a system of transportation facilities and services that provide for local needs and maintain the City’s commitment to managing growth and preserving quality of life. These transportation goals and objectives provided the overall guidance necessary to produce all other elements of this study. These goals and policies have guided the development of specific recommendations in the TSP.

Specific recommendations for each mode of transportation are presented in the following sections. A synopsis of all recommendations along with estimated costs is summarized at the end of this chapter.

**Goal: To provide a balanced, multi-modal, safe, convenient, and efficient transportation system for Independence.**

**Objectives:**

1. Independence shall develop a coordinated transportation system which facilitates the mobility and accessibility of community residents, and encourages alternatives to and reduced reliance upon the automobile.

2. Independence will protect the character of the Historic District from adverse impacts related to changes in the transportation system.

3. Independence shall consider access to public transit in making deliberations on residential development patterns.

4. Independence shall promote the development and maintenance of all transportation modes including bikeways, pedestrian ways, and public transportation to all planned land uses.

5. Independence shall encourage transportation modes which are energy efficient and enhance the air, noise, and visual environment of the community.

6. Independence shall cooperate with and support regional public transportation planning efforts.

7. Independence shall promote and give high priority to bike and pedestrian ways in the downtown area, and in the vicinity of schools and parks.

8. Independence shall protect the function of air and rail facilities in the City and develop and implement strategies that minimize conflicts with other transportation modes and adjacent land uses.

9. Independence shall cooperate with the Oregon Department of Aviation in the development and implementation of the goals of the Independence State Airport Layout Plan, 1998-2015.
10. Independence will coordinate with the Oregon Department of Transportation and Polk County in the planning and provision of transportation services and in the implementation of the ODOT State Transportation Improvement Program.

11. Independence will coordinate with affected transportation facility or service providers whenever a proposal for a plan or regulation amendment or development action would significantly affect a transportation facility.

12. Independence shall utilize the Transportation System Plan for guidance in all land use planning and project development activities.

13. Independence shall use tools such as performance standards to protect transportation facilities, corridors, and sites for their intended functions as identified in this plan.

14. Independence shall develop land use regulations and subdivision ordinances that allow needed transportation facilities and improvements and encourage development patterns that are friendly to pedestrians, bicyclists and public transportation users.

The goals and objectives of the Independence-Monmouth Comprehensive Bicycle Master Plan, adopted December 1991, are included by reference.

3.2 ROADWAY ELEMENT

This section documents an assessment of needs, deficiencies, policies and improvement recommendations affecting the street system within the Independence Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). Included is an evaluation of needs and deficiencies in the existing and projected future (2026) system, a discussion of longer-term roadway improvement needs based on full community build-out (2042), and a summary of specific recommendations for managing, enhancing and expanding the roadway system. More specifically, this chapter addresses:

- Summary of street system deficiencies
- Recommendations for:
  - Street standards
  - Access management
  - Traffic impact analysis
  - New roadway recommendations
  - Roadway capacity improvements
  - Roadway safety improvements
- Discussion of potential improvement needs beyond the 20-year TSP planning horizon

**Summary of Street System Deficiencies**

Analysis of the current road system and an assessment of potential future growth in traffic volumes has indicated that there are many existing and likely future roadway system needs and deficiencies. Segregated into different time periods, these needs and deficiencies can be summarized as follows.

**Roadway System Needs**

**Existing**

In general, the existing Independence roadway system meets local and through trip traffic needs with minimal delays. However, several traffic safety issues have been identified related to the existing rail tracks on 2nd Street, pedestrian issues at Central High School, and gaps in the pedestrian and bike networks.
Future 2026

By 2026, population and job increases within the Independence UGB will result in significant increases in local and commuter traffic. The impacts of these new local trips, when combined with an increase in trips through the community, are expected to result in significant congestion at several locations in the Independence road network. To avoid these future congestion problems, a new east/west arterial roadway was evaluated, along with north/south collector road extensions and/or improvements to connect the new arterial with the rest of the City’s road network. Additionally, several intersection-specific improvement options have been considered.

Future 2042

By 2042, additional population growth and an expected further increase in through trips will strain the traffic network. In addition to a new east-west arterial, collector roads, and the intersection-specific improvements mentioned above, traffic signal installation will be needed at the intersections of Main Street and Polk Street and Main Street and Monmouth Street.

Roadway System Improvement

This section presents roadway system improvement recommendations for the 20-year planning horizon of this TSP. The section also includes possible additional improvements that may be needed to accommodate 2042 traffic volumes. Recommendations in this chapter cover the following topics:

- Street standards
- Access management
- Traffic impact analysis
- New roadway recommendations
- Roadway capacity improvements
- Roadway safety improvements
- Other roadway system policy recommendations

Street Standards

The 1998 City of Independence TSP established street standards for Major and Minor Arterials, Collector and Local Service streets. These standards covered right-of-way and curb-to-curb widths, and regulations pertaining to moving lanes, turn lanes, bike lanes, parking lanes and sidewalks by street designation. The standards did not make reference to planting strips. With adoption of this TSP update, parking along Major and Minor Arterials is no longer prohibited, and is instead subject to City approval. Local Service street standards have also been updated, to encourage narrower streets and allow parking on both sides (see below and Table 3-1). To provide a safer and more convenient pedestrian environment, as well as to ensure compliance with ADA requirements, sidewalk widths on Collector Streets and Local Service Streets were increased from five feet to six feet, and planting strips are now required for all streets other than Local Service streets. The remaining street standards are unchanged. Table 3-1 reflects these changes and will be incorporated into an amended Independence Development Code.

Major Arterial Streets

Oregon 51 comprises the majority of Major Arterial streets within the City of Independence. Because Oregon 51 is a state highway, state highway standards, rather than local street standards, apply to this roadway. South of Monmouth Street (Oregon 51), Main Street / Corvallis Road comprises the only non-highway roadway with a Major Arterial designation,
and local street standards apply to it. Major Arterial street standards include 84 feet of right-of-way; 60 feet of curb-to-curb width; between two to four moving lanes; turn lanes where needed to meet intersection V/C standards; two six foot bike lanes; parking subject to City approval; two six foot sidewalks; and two six foot planting strips.

**Minor Arterial Streets**

Two travel routes within Independence have Minor Arterial designations: the Polk Street / Hoffman Road corridor and Gun Club Road. The Polk Street / Hoffman Road corridor provides an alternate connection between Oregon 51 (Main Street) in Independence and Oregon 99W in Monmouth. Gun Club Road connects the Polk Street / Hoffman Road corridor with Oregon 51 (Monmouth Street).

Minor Arterial street standards include 66 feet of right-of-way; 36 feet of curb-to-curb width; two moving lanes; turn lanes where needed to meet intersection V/C standards; two six foot bike lanes; parking subject to City approval; two six foot sidewalks; and two six foot planting strips.

**Collector Streets**

A network of Collector streets exist throughout the City of Independence. Collector street standards include 66 feet of right-of-way; 36 feet of curb-to-curb width; two moving lanes; and turn lanes where needed to meet intersection V/C standards. Bicyclists and vehicles share the roadway on Collector streets with less than 2,000 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes, and parking is allowed on both sides of the roadway. The City of Independence determines, on a case-by-case basis, whether to remove parking and create bike lanes on Collector streets with greater than 2,000 ADT volumes. Collector streets are required to have two six foot sidewalks and two five foot planting strips.

**Local Service Streets**

The TPR [660-12-045(7)] requires local governments to establish street standards that minimize pavement width and total right-of-way, consistent with the operational needs of the facility. The intent of this standard is to encourage local government to consider and reduce excessive standards in order to reduce construction costs, provide for more efficient use of urban land, provide emergency vehicle access while discouraging inappropriate traffic volumes and speeds, and accommodate convenient bicycle and pedestrian circulation.

In the 1998 TSP, Local Service street standards in Independence varied significantly depending on the operational needs of the roadways. The standards were a 36 foot wide curb-to-curb standard (60 foot right-of-way) for Local Service streets serving over 20 dwelling units and a 28 foot wide curb-to-curb standard (50 foot right-of-way) for Local Service streets and cul-de-sacs serving less than 20 dwelling units. Local Service streets serving over 20 dwelling units allowed parking on both sides of the street, whereas Local Service streets serving under 20 dwelling units allowed parking on only one side of the street. All Local Service streets had a shared travel lane for bicyclists and vehicles and two, five foot sidewalks. Turn lanes were not allowed on Local Service streets.

Based on a review of the operations of Local Service streets, it has become apparent that 28 foot curb-to-curb width Local Service streets do a better job of meeting TPR goals than their 36 foot wide counterparts. These goals include reducing construction costs, providing for more efficient use of urban land, providing emergency vehicle access while discouraging inappropriate traffic volumes and speeds, and accommodating convenient bicycle and pedestrian circulation. It has also become apparent that allowing parking on both sides of these streets reduces travel speeds, improves pedestrian and bicyclist safety, provides more convenient access to parking, and reduces the need for police enforcement. Therefore, a new 28 foot wide (52 foot right-of-way) street design standard, with parking on both sides of the
street, will apply to all Local Service streets, except as noted below. The City may require up to 36 foot wide (60 foot right-of-way) Local Service streets in or along high density residential, industrial or commercially zoned areas, or those expected to exceed 400 ADT. As discussed above, Local Service streets are also required to have two six foot sidewalks and are allowed, but not required, to have planting strips.

Table 3-1. Independence Street Design Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major Arterial Streets</th>
<th>Minor Arterial Streets</th>
<th>Collector Streets</th>
<th>Local Streets(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right-of-way width</td>
<td>84 feet(2)</td>
<td>66 feet(2)</td>
<td>66 feet(2)</td>
<td>52 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb-to-curb width</td>
<td>60 feet</td>
<td>36 feet</td>
<td>36 feet</td>
<td>28 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving Lanes</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn Lanes</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Lanes</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>Shared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lanes</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>2 sides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting Strips(6)</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 6’</td>
<td>2 @ 5’</td>
<td>Allowed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The City may require up to 36 foot wide (60 foot right-of-way) Local Service streets in or along high density residential, industrial or commercially zoned areas, or those expected to exceed 400 ADT.
(2) Additional right-of-way and roadway improvements may be required at major intersections to provide for turn lanes.
(3) At all intersections where separate lanes are needed due to volume of turning movement activity.
(4) Collectors with < 2,000 ADT can accommodate on-street parking and shared use of road space by bicyclists and motor vehicles. These shared roadways will be designated with "sharrows." "Sharrows" are markings painted directly onto the road to promote the awareness that the road is a shared traffic lane to be used by both motorists and bicyclists. For collectors with > 2,000 ADT the city will study the need to eliminate on-street parking and provide bike lanes.
(5) The City of Independence may allow parking along sections of Major and Minor Arterial Streets, balancing the needs for accessibility to property, public safety, bicycle facilities, and roadway congestion. Parking allowances will be evaluated on an ongoing basis as part of roadway projects.
(6) Planting strips are encouraged, but not required, along Local Service streets. If built along Local Service streets, planting strips should be at least 4 feet wide, to accommodate tree plantings. In commercially zoned areas, the City may require wider sidewalks which encroach into the planting strip area.

**Access Management Policy**

The goal of access management is to protect a street for its intended function by reducing the potential for conflicts between through-moving and local property access traffic. In Independence, access management is a tool that can be used to ensure that the TSP goals and objectives related to mobility and safety are preserved for the city’s arterial and collector system.

The City, in cooperation with ODOT and Polk County, can achieve the following objectives through a coordinated approach to access management:

- Maintain an acceptable level of service (good mobility)
- Minimize capital costs
- Improve safety by minimizing potential conflict points
- Improve bicycle/pedestrian mobility

**Access Management Policies**

Guidelines for access management are previously defined in the street design standards. The City achieves access management objectives through application of its development code. While existing spacing may already vary from recommended guidelines, the city will require the standards described in the TSP of all new development and encourage the consolidation of accesses in developed areas wherever possible.
The following are examples of access management techniques that will be used to accomplish the above objectives:

- Common driveways (sharing access with adjacent properties);
- Providing access to collector and local streets;
- Encourage connections between adjacent properties;
- Construct local service roads; and
- Align opposing driveways.

The City will remain flexible in its response to future development proposals on its Arterial/Collector streets, considering creative access solutions but maintaining a firm commitment to negotiating agreements that uphold the objectives of safety and mobility.

**Action Strategies for Access Management**

Oregon 51 presents important challenges related to reconciling the needs of past and future commercial development along the roadway with their intended function of carrying through traffic. In light of these competing demands on the arterial, the city will work with adjacent property owners to develop creative approaches to access management.

Although the state has jurisdiction over the highway itself, the city has control over land adjacent to the highway, and thus, has significant influence over access demands. Because of the overlapping jurisdictions, all development proposals that impact the state highways will be submitted to ODOT for review.

Coordination with Polk County and the City of Monmouth will also be important to develop a coordinated access management strategy for Hoffman Road, a minor arterial that provides a significant level of east/west mobility to both cities including the northern portion of City of Independence’s UGB.

While the street network plan identifies certain future collector, minor arterial, and arterial streets of particular importance for traffic circulation, most local streets will be built as development occurs. The City will require local streets to connect with existing and planned streets wherever possible. Multiple access points, achieved through a well connected street network, are important to ensure that emergency services are not cut off and that local access is not eliminated or greatly lengthened in the event that one access is closed. Further, a well connected street network, with numerous alternative routes, reduces the volume of traffic on any one route and provides a more bicycle/pedestrian friendly environment.

This TSP includes the following access management standards that are continued from the 1998 TSP:

- **For major and minor arterials** – When pre-existing patterns of land ownership preclude the application of spacing standards, the city will encourage property owners to share private drives or to obtain access via the local and/or collector street system wherever feasible.

- **For collector streets** – Access to collectors is permitted by both streets and private drives. However, the city will encourage property owners to minimize access to collector streets according to the following guidelines: on-site vehicle turn-arounds, adequate off-street parking, safe intersection sight distance, and safe off-set distance between intersections on opposing sides of the street. The city will encourage combined access to collector streets wherever practical.

- **For local streets** – A well-connected local street network is important for convenient bicycle and pedestrian access. Cul-de-sac streets will continue to be discouraged in favor of establishing connections with existing or planned streets. Because local streets serve a
wide range of uses, including neighborhood play areas, the city will explore options to discourage “through” traffic and speeds in excess of 25 mph. Local streets that include design features such as curves and “T” intersection may be a useful means of reducing conflicts and discouraging through traffic.

These standards are largely absent from the Independence Development Code and should be added. The state highway access standards in the Independence Development Code are not consistent with current standards as found in the Oregon Highway Plan and the Access Management Administrative Rule (OAR 734-051-0115). Therefore, it is recommended that the Independence Development Code be updated to include the following access management standards:

| Table 3-2. Access Management Spacing Standards for Private and Public Approaches on District Highways(1)(2)(3)(4) (Measured in Feet)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Posted Speed** | **Urban** | **STA** |
| 55 | 700 |  |
| 50 | 550 |  |
| 40 & 45 | 500 |  |
| 30 & 35 | 350 | 6 |
| **≤25** | 350 | 6 |

* Measurement of the approach road spacing is from center to center on the same side of the roadway.
**These standards also apply to Commercial Centers.

Notes on Tables 3-2:
(1) These access management spacing standards are for unsignalized approaches only. Signal spacing standards supersede access management spacing standards for approaches.
(2) These access management spacing standards do not apply to approaches in existence prior to April 1, 2000, except as provided in OAR 734-051-0115(1)(c) and 734-051-0125(1)(c).
(3) For infill and redevelopment, see OAR 734-051-0135(4).
(4) For deviations to the designated access management spacing standards see OAR 734-051-0135.
(5) Posted (or Desirable) Speed: Posted speed can only be adjusted (up or down) after a speed study is conducted and that study determines the correct posted speed to be different than the current posted speed. In cases where actual speeds are suspected to be much higher than posted speeds, the Department reserves the right to adjust the access management spacing accordingly. A determination can be made to go to longer access management spacing standards as appropriate for a higher speed. A speed study will need to be conducted to determine the correct speed.
(6) Minimum access management spacing for public road approaches is the existing city block spacing or the city block spacing as identified in the local comprehensive plan. Public road connections are preferred over private driveways and in STAs driveways are discouraged. However, where driveways are allowed and where land use patterns permit, the minimum access management spacing for driveways is 175 feet (55 meters) or mid-block if the current city block spacing is less than 350 feet (110 meters).

**Traffic Management Recommendations**

This section presents a discussion of various strategies that can be implemented to clarify, improve and preserve traffic operations within the Independence UGB. Included in this discussion are the development of roadway intersection performance standards, and the preparation of traffic impact studies to assess the impacts associated with specific development applications and to define appropriate mitigation strategies and responsibilities.

**Performance Standards**

The TPR [660-12-045(2)(b)] requires local governments to adopt standards to protect future operation of roads, transit ways and major transit corridors. The OHP similarly calls for the creation of performance standards to protect the mobility of state owned transportation facilities. These performance standards apply to TSP projects and amendments to TSPs, comprehensive plans and development codes.

**State Highways**

The 1998 Independence TSP applied a level of service threshold of LOS D to major arterials, including Oregon 51. This standard for Oregon 51 is inconsistent with the performance
standards as found in the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP). ODOT currently uses V/C ratios to measure state highway performance rather than intersection or roadway LOS. The peak hour, maximum V/C standards that will be applied to various portions of Oregon 51 are summarized in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3. Oregon 51 (Hwys 193 & 43) Peak Hour, Maximum V/C Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Highway, Inside UGB, Non-MPO Designations</th>
<th>Maximum V/C Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STA</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted speed &lt;=35 mph or UBA</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted speed &gt;35 mph</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted speed &gt;=45 mph</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oregon Highway Plan, Policy 1F Mobility Standards, Table 6.

**City Streets**

The 1998 Independence TSP applied an intersection level of service threshold of LOS B or better to guide municipal roadway design of minor arterials, collectors and local streets. Using LOS standards for municipal roadways is problematic for two reasons:

- Using an LOS standard is not consistent with the V/C measure used by ODOT for state highway facilities (e.g., Oregon 51 in Independence). If the City of Independence used an LOS standard for their municipal roadways, some confusion could result between the use of the two standards.
- The V/C standard can at some times identify potential problems with intersection operations even when the level of service (as measured in terms of delay per average vehicle) is considered to be acceptable.

Therefore, it is recommended that the City of Independence adopt performance standards for all municipal roadways inside the Independence UGB that are measured based on volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratios.

To maintain a relatively low V/C standard (e.g., 0.70 or lower) would likely require construction of more roadway and/or intersection improvements than would be the case if the standard were higher. Correspondingly, implementation of a relatively high standard (e.g., 0.90 or greater) could lead to potential congestion and/or safety problems that might be considered to be inappropriate for a small city such as Independence. Each community must balance factors related to costs and mobility when identifying an appropriate and affordable service level for users.

To preserve infrastructure investments and ensure adequate capacity for new development, the City of Independence establishes a V/C standard of 0.80 as a threshold in determining the need for improvements. This standard would apply to:

- Existing intersections when development related mitigation is required, and
- Creation of new intersections resulting from the development of new streets and/or street connections.

A successful downtown tends to have greater congestion, with intersections with higher V/C rations. A requirement to maintain .80 V/C standards on City streets in downtown Independence could lead to the installation of turn lanes at downtown intersections. Turn lanes would lead to greater pedestrian crossing distances, therefore making downtown less safe for pedestrians, as well as altering the traditional feel of this historic district. To avoid
these impacts, a V/C standard of .95 will be the established standard for city-owned intersections bounded by B Street to E Street and 2nd Street to Main Street.

The OHP’s V/C standards as they pertain to Oregon 51, and the proposed V/C standards for city streets, shall be incorporated into the City’s Development Code.

Traffic Impact Studies

The TPR [660-12-045(2)(e)] requires local governments to adopt land use regulations that create a process for applying conditions to development proposals to minimize impacts and protect transportation facilities, corridors, or sites. The Independence Development Code addresses this requirement by providing clear authority to require Traffic Impact Analysis to determine the traffic impact of land use actions and to allow the assignment of conditions of approval on land use actions. However, while the City can require Traffic Impact Analysis, there is no language establishing a threshold for when Traffic Impact Analysis should be prepared. Therefore, it is recommended that the following language be added to the Independence Development Code:

“Traffic Impact Analysis. The City Manager or designee may require a traffic impact analysis report, prepared by an Oregon professional traffic engineer or an Oregon registered Professional Engineer with expertise in traffic engineering, for any development permit or land use application. A traffic impact analysis report shall be required for all development permits and land use applications which:

1. Generate a net increase of 200 or more vehicle trips per day; or
2. Are likely to increase the V/C ratio or decrease the safety of a State transportation facility.

Traffic Impact Analysis Reports shall include:

1. The total estimated vehicular, pedestrian, bicycle and other transit service trips to be generated from the proposed development;
2. The impact of the total estimated vehicular, pedestrian, bicycle and other transit service trips on the existing street, sidewalk, bicycle and other transit systems within the City; and
3. Identification of improvement necessary to mitigate the total impact from the proposed development as identified in item 2.”

New Roadway Improvements

The inventory of existing conditions showed that most roads in Independence are currently operating at acceptable performance levels. However, as traffic volumes increase in the future, congestion will occur. Increasing the capacity of existing street and intersections, and developing alternative routes will be needed. Some approaches to relieving congestion will also be realized by improving intersection operations through traffic control enhancements, and employing access management techniques. Any proposed improvements on the State Highway require approval by ODOT.

Figure 9 illustrates the conceptual roadway improvements. The street network plan is intended to be used as a guide to assure the dedication, or in some cases, the acquisition of adequate rights-of-way for streets and related facility improvements in appropriate locations. While exact alignments may require more detailed refinement studies, this plan identifies the general alignments and connections that need to be made in order for the City to provide a safe, convenient, and economic transportation system with adequate access to all planned land uses.

The centerpiece of improvements is a new minor arterial roadway running parallel to, and to the south of, Monmouth Street (Oregon 51). This new arterial would provide a new travel
route for those living and working in the southern portion of the Independence UGB, and is anticipated to draw through traffic currently using Oregon 51 to travel from South Salem to the City of Monmouth.

To be an effective alternate route to Monmouth Street (Oregon 51), the new Arterial Roadway must:

- Be free of on-street parking and driveways
- Have limited intersections (e.g., Corvallis Road, 7th Street, 13th Street, and Talmadge Road/16th Street)
- Maintain travel speeds with minimal delay at intersections (no signals are anticipated at the intersections with 7th or 13th Streets and roundabouts are recommended)
- Continue west and connect with OR 99W in the City of Monmouth

The design and alignment of the roadway will be the subject of a future refinement plan. However, for planning purposes, the roadway will be classified as a Minor Arterial according to City of Independence standards and will be located somewhere north of Stapleton Road. The Minor Arterial standard includes two 12 foot travel lanes, bike lanes and sidewalks, with a posted speed of 35 mph or higher. It is also important that the new Minor Arterial roadway be designed consistent with State Highway standards, in case the City of Independence and Oregon Transportation Commission agree to a jurisdictional transfer between the existing Oregon 51 (Monmouth Street) and this new Minor Arterial (which could serve as a bypass to Oregon 51).

Another significant project is a new directional circulator that will divert southbound to westbound Oregon 51 traffic away from the Main and Monmouth Street Intersection. The diverted traffic would travel westbound down “C” street, an existing one-way street, then turn southbound, somewhere between 2nd Street and 5th Street, to reconnect with Oregon 51 (Monmouth Street) west of the intersection with Main Street. Without this diverter, new turn lanes would be needed at the Main and Monmouth Street Intersection, which would result in the removal of the existing curb extensions and potential right-of-way acquisition.

**Capacity Improvements to Existing Roadways**

To accommodate future trip growth, new turn lanes will be added at the intersections of Hoffman Road and Gun Club Road and Polk Street and Main Street. These turning lane locations are discussed further on Table 3-4. In addition, G Street is a designated collector street, providing an alternative route for local traffic seeking to avoid portions of Monmouth Street (Oregon 51). G Street’s east/west stop signs will be replaced with north/south stop signs, to increase the usefulness of this road as a local traffic alternative route.

**Safety Improvements**

The existing conditions analysis identified locations where safety enhancements or improvements are needed. These include improving rail crossings and both street pavement and trackage along 2nd Street, filling gaps in the bicycle and pedestrian network, and minimizing conflicts between traffic and pedestrians crossing Oregon 51 near Central High School over the lunch hour.

Projects to address 2nd Street issues and gaps in the bicycle and pedestrian network are included in Table 3-4 and Figures 7, 8, and 9 in this report. Regarding the school issue, the following structural solutions to this safety and congestion problem were considered and rejected:

- Pedestrian overcrossing: rejected based on likelihood that many students would still cross at street level and the significant cost of an overcrossing.
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• Fencing on school property to prevent mid-block crossings: rejected based on the need to keep school fire lanes open and because it fails to address the primary problems – number of students crossing at or near approved crossings and failure by some to obey traffic signals.

• Additional pedestrian crossing: rejected because it fails to address one of the primary problems – number of students crossing at or near approved crossings and failure by some to obey traffic signals. Also rejected based on the close proximity of existing fully signalized intersection at 16th and Monmouth Street and Pedestrian crossing between 16th Street and Gun Club Road on Monmouth Street.

The Central School District 13J will address highway safety and congestion problems caused by significant numbers of Central High School students crossing Monmouth Street during the lunch break, including some students who fail to use approved crossings and/or obey traffic signals. To alleviate these problems, the Central School District 13J is considering a phased plan to close Central High School’s campus at lunch and/or the use of crossing guards. The City of Independence supports the school district’s efforts to address safety issues, and this TSP does not contemplate capital improvements at this time.

**Other Roadway System Policies**

Polk County maintains the County road system, which exists largely outside of urban areas, to a rural standard. Traditionally, as city limits expand to encompass County road segments, ownership of these road segments are transferred to the city, so the roads may be maintained to urban standards. The following policy will help ensure County road segments are transferred to the City of Independence as city limits are expanded:

• The city will simultaneously annex land and the county roads found within, or bordering, the newly annexed land.

The following additional policies, excerpted from the 1998 TSP, are incorporated below:

• The city will manage the supply, operations, and demand for parking in the public right-of-way to encourage the economic vitality, traffic safety, and livability.

• The city will consider the use of traffic management devices (signs, signals, curb extensions, and markings), consistent with sound engineering and planning practices, to improve safety and livability in neighborhoods and in the commercial district.

**Beyond the 20-year TSP Planning Horizon**

Additional road improvements are anticipated to be needed when full build-out of the Independence UGB occurs, predicted to occur in approximately 2042. These improvements, as detailed in Table 3-5, include new turn lanes at Monmouth Street and 7th Street and Main Street and G Street, plus new signals at the Main Street and Polk Street and Monmouth Street and Main Street intersections. In addition, roundabouts will need to be added to intersections on the new east-west arterial, to ensure enough traffic capacity.

### 3.3 PUBLIC TRANSIT ELEMENT

This section documents an assessment of needs, deficiencies, policies and improvements affecting the public transit system within the Independence Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). Included is a discussion of public transit policy guidance, an evaluation of needs and deficiencies, and a summary of specific policy changes.
Public Transit Policies

The Polk County TSP inventoried the existing public transit system and evaluated the public transit needs for the entire county. The TSP concluded that due to the small size of the urban centers, excepting West Salem, public transit planning and implementation should be a coordinated, regional process, particularly with respect to the fixed-route bus service or commuter services.

The TPR requires (OAR 660-12-020) requires that the TSP include a Public Transit Plan which:

1. Describes public transit services for the transportation disadvantaged and identifies service inadequacies.
2. Describes inter-city bus and passenger rail service and identifies the location of terminals.

For urban areas where the area is already served by a public transit system, the TPR [660-12-045(4)] requires support of transit by requiring a variety of land use regulations. Given the population and location of the City of Independence, as well as the limited nature of transit currently serving the City of Independence, it was determined that only select sections of TPR [660-12-045(4)] should apply [(a), (b) & (e)]. These include the requirements that:

- Transit routes and facilities shall be supported through appropriate measures such as bus stops, pullouts, optimum road geometrics, or parking restrictions;
- New retail, office and institutional developments should include transit routes and facilities and convenient pedestrian access to transit through walkways and connections; and
- Allow existing developments to redevelop portions of parking areas for transit oriented uses where appropriate.

The following policy for public transit was included in the existing City of Independence TSP (1998) and is incorporated herein:

- The City shall coordinate with governmental and private agencies in the planning and provision of public transportation services and shall ensure that a given level of service is adequate for the costs incurred.

The following policy for public transit was included in the existing City of Independence TSP (1998):

- The City will coordinate with other jurisdictions when the need for park-and-ride facilities is studied.

This policy is replaced by the following policy:

- The City will coordinate with willing private property owners to establish park-and-ride facilities for public transit and carpool users.

Public Transit Improvements

Existing public transit services and needs are described in Chapter 2.3 of this TSP. OHAS, the operator of CARTS, is currently developing alternatives for enhancing transit services in Polk and Marion Counties. The City of Independence will review the alternatives that will be proposed by OHAS, Inc., and work with this agency and other local governments to improve public transit options within the UGB. In particular, the City of Independence will encourage an expansion of peak-period commuter service to the Salem area, and look for park-and-ride lot locations to support this commuter service. Potential park-and-ride locations include the parking lots for the:
The City of Independence will also develop the following land use requirements, when the population or available transit service warrants:

- Transit routes and facilities shall be supported through appropriate measures such as bus stops, pullouts, optimum road geometrics, or parking restrictions; and
- New retail, office and institutional developments should include transit routes and facilities and convenient pedestrian access to transit through walkways and connections.

The following provision be incorporated into the City of Independence Development Code to support the use of transit service within the community:

- Allow existing developments to redevelop portions of parking areas for transit oriented uses where appropriate

As population increases or modal trends allow, the City of Independence will encourage private long-haul bus, passenger rail, and taxi service operations within the Independence UGB.

### 3.4 BIKEWAY SYSTEM ELEMENT

This section documents an assessment of needs, deficiencies, policies and improvements affecting the bicycle transportation system within the Independence Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). Included is a discussion of policy guidance for the bicycle circulation system, an evaluation of needs and deficiencies, and a summary of specific improvements.

**Bicycle Transportation System Policies**

The TPR requires (OAR 660-12-020) requires development of a bicycle and pedestrian plan for a network of bicycle and pedestrian routes throughout the planning area as a part of the TSP.

The TPR also requires that, when developing the bicycle and pedestrian circulation plans, local governments shall identify improvements to facilitate bicycle and pedestrian trips to meet local travel needs in developed areas. Appropriate improvements will provide for more direct, convenient and safer bicycle or pedestrian travel within and between residential areas and neighborhood activity centers (i.e., schools, shopping, transit stops). Specific measures include, for example, constructing walkways between cul-de-sacs and adjacent roads, providing walkways between buildings, and providing direct access between adjacent uses.

The TSP’s bikeway system element is described in detail in the Independence-Monmouth Comprehensive Master Bicycle Plan (1991). Network and facility improvements are described in the Master Bicycle Plan. The TSP amends the Plan to add bikeways to the entire collector street network. The bikeway facilities requirements for the entire street network are found in the street design standards (Table 3-1).

The following three goals are taken from the Master Bicycle Plan. The TSP incorporates these goals, and the thirteen objectives associated with the goals, by reference.
• Goal. To provide and maintain a safe, convenient, and pleasing citywide bicycle system that is integrated with other transportation systems.
• Goal. To encourage and support bicycle safety, education, and enforcement programs.
• Goal. To develop a comprehensive system of through routes, a perimeter beltline loop, secondary connecting routes, and recreational routes.

As a matter of policy, it is recommended that when traffic volumes on collector streets exceed 2,000 ADT, the City shall consider the removal of on-street parking and the addition of bicycle lanes.

**Bicycle Transportation System Recommendations**

The Bicycle Element has received only slight updates since adoption of the 1998 City of Independence TSP. The City of Independence is contemplating updating the Independence-Monmouth Comprehensive Master Bicycle Plan (1991) in the next few years to reflect changes that have occurred in the community over the past decade. For purposes of the TSP, priority bicycle facility improvements have been identified below. It is important to note that the costs are planning level estimates only.

**New Facilities**

New bicycle infrastructure will include bicycle lanes on all new city-owned Arterials and Collector roadways over 2,000 ADT, as required by the TPR. Changes are not proposed for those portions of the state-owned Oregon 51 which currently have parking, lack bicycle lanes and are posted for <=25 mph travel (Main and Monmouth Streets near the Downtown Business District). These portions of Oregon 51 have low travel speeds and significant congestion, allowing a shared roadway designation to safely accommodate both bicyclists and vehicles. Priority locations for bicycle lane improvements include (estimated costs in parenthesis):

• Main Street from Polk Street to the Ash Creek Bridge and from “D” Street to the Independence Bridge ($600);
• The west side of Gun Club Road ($880k);
• Polk Street from Ash Street to Main Street (Oregon 51) ($180); and
• Stryker Road from Hoffman Road to Main Street (Oregon 51) ($800).

Gun Club Road requires widening to accommodate the addition of a bicycle lane and sidewalk, resulting in a project of significant cost. The remaining priority bicycle lane projects are expected to only require new road striping, and therefore have a rough planning level cost estimate of only .15 cents per linear foot.

Priority bicycle improvements also include the proposed Ash Creek Trail, which is expected to cost roughly $3.25 million and includes the pedestrian element of the trail.

The costs of the priority bicycle infrastructure above, and the priority pedestrian infrastructure below, are included in the “Various Locations – Priority Bicycling and Pedestrian Projects” line item in Table 3-4.

**Shared Bicycle Facilities**

This TSP amends the master Bicycle Plan to add shared roadway bikeways to all existing and planned collector streets which currently lack bicycle lanes, including the following:

• 4th Street between Spruce Avenue and Polk Street;
• Ash Street (including the west end of “A” Street) between “A” and Polk Street;
3.5 PEDESTRIAN SYSTEM ELEMENT

This section documents an assessment of needs, deficiencies, policies and improvements affecting the pedestrian transportation system within the Independence Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). Included is a discussion of policy guidance for the pedestrian circulation system, an evaluation of needs and deficiencies, and a summary of specific improvements.

Pedestrian Transportation System Policies

The following policies for the pedestrian transportation system in the Independence UGB have been excerpted from the 1998 TSP and are incorporated below. Pedestrian system planning requirements under the TPR have been identified and discussed in the Bikeway System Element:

- Low curb crosswalks shall be built as a part of all intersection projects, consistent with ADA guidelines, to facilitate use by the transportation disadvantaged, the elderly, and people with disabilities.
- The City shall remove physical obstruction of sidewalks such as utility poles, sign posts or guy wires, to ensure 4’ of passable sidewalk (consistent with ADA guidelines).
- Visibility and unobstructed views shall be promoted for all areas of high pedestrian use.
- Bicycle traffic on sidewalks shall be prohibited.

Pedestrian Transportation System Recommendations

The Pedestrian Element has received only slight updates since the 1998 City of Independence TSP.

The street design standards (Table 3-1) include sidewalk standards. These standards apply to new construction and reconstruction. The City shall give consideration to the arterials, collector streets, and school routes lacking sidewalks when prioritizing and planning for road improvement and maintenance projects. Priority locations for sidewalk improvements include the:

- West side of Gun Club Road;
- East side of Ash Street (including the west end of A Street);
- North side of Williams Street between Log Cabin Street and Main Street;
- Both sides of “G” Street between 4th Street and 3rd Street;
- West Side of 5th Street between Henry Hill Elementary and “I” Street;
- South side of “I” Street between 5th Street and 4th Street;
- East side of 4th Street between “I” Street and Maple Drive;
• South side of Polk Street between rail tracks and Walnut Street; and
• Two northerly segments and one western segment of Stryker Road.

Priority pedestrian improvements also include the proposed Ash Creek Trail.

The costs for improvements to Gun Club Road and the creation of the Ash Creek Trail were discussed in the Bikeway System Element. The remaining priority pedestrian projects are expected to cost $540 per linear foot, for a total cost of approximately $2.94 million. This rough planning level cost estimate includes curbs, gutters, six foot sidewalks, landscaping, and storm drains. This estimate assumes that road widening to accommodate the sidewalks will not be needed, and that a stormwater conveyance system to tie into already exists. The estimated costs of these improvements are included in the “Various Locations – Priority Bicycling Projects” line item in Table 3-4.

Improving connectivity and circulation patterns of pedestrian facilities will be considered in new development and in improvements to the existing system, where possible. Examples of ways to improve connectivity and circulation include constructing walkways between cul-de-sacs and adjacent roads, providing walkways between buildings, providing walkways to parks and school sites, and constructing curb ramps.

3.6 AIR, TRUCK FREIGHT, RAIL, WATER AND PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION ELEMENTS

The TPR requires that TSPs include elements related to air, freight, rail, water and pipeline transportation systems. Collectively, these elements should identify where public use airports, mainline and branch line railroads and railroad facilities, port facilities, and major regional pipelines and terminals are located or planned within the Independence UGB. Additionally, for airports, the planning area shall include all areas within the airport’s imaginary surfaces and other areas covered by state and federal regulations. Each of these modes is discussed in the paragraphs below. In most cases, text has been excerpted from the 1998 Transportation System Plan as the relevant modal plans have not been updated and the issues identified in 1998 remain current.

Air Transportation

Policies

The following policies for air transportation were excerpted from the City’s 1998 TSP:

• The City shall protect and maintain the Independence Airport site and coordinate with Polk County and the Oregon Department of Aviation in protection and maintenance efforts.

• The City, in cooperation with Polk County, shall maintain an airport overlay zoning which coincides with the future approach surfaces and FAR Part 77 surfaces. Airport overlay zoning should conform with Oregon Department of Aviation guidelines.

• City supports designating Runway 34 as the calm wind runway in order to minimize noise exposure on nearby residential areas south of the airport. The City also supports a review of airport operating procedures to ensure that appropriate noise abatement procedures and standard traffic pattern elevations and locations are being utilized at the airport.
Rail Transportation

Policies
Independence will utilize the following policies to minimize conflicts, improve safety, and protect the railroad transportation mode:

- Improve safety by continuing to work with the W&P Railroad and the Rail Division of ODOT to identify crossing closures and safety improvements to existing crossings.
- Improve the trackage on 2nd Street to decrease pedestrian tripping and bicycling hazards, and vehicular and rail conflicts, between “B” and “E” Streets. Since its inception in 1993, W&P has encouraged Independence to consider a median strip on 2nd Street to separate train and vehicular traffic such as was done on 6th Street in Corvallis. The City will keep all design solutions to the existing railroad subgrade failure along 2nd Street open for discussion.
- Work with the railroad to identify, and evaluate the financial feasibility of, alternatives that would improve public safety, reduce roadway wear and tear, and reduce conflicts. For instance, a track alignment that ran down the eastern edge of the City adjacent to the Willamette River would reduce the number of at-grade crossings and improve access and emergency response capabilities. A small roadway underpass located on the south end of the City might also permit passage of emergency response vehicles.
- Reduce environmental degradation (noise impacts) and conflicts by requiring residential development adjacent to the railroad to use sound mitigation structures or planting buffers.
- Promote safe and efficient operation of the railroad and road system by allowing no new at-grade crossings by local roads and minimize the number of arterial and collector street at-grade crossings.
- Identify and evaluate the economic feasibility of various alternatives to provide for emergency access and response capabilities to the entire City. Some alternatives include building an overpass at an existing at-grade crossing or an unbuilt collector or arterial crossing, constructing an underpass near the existing trestle near Ash Street, or providing a satellite emergency response capability for the east side of Independence.

Truck Freight
Trucks bring freight to and through the City of Independence. The roadway from the intersection of Oregon 51 (Main Street) and Polk Street, west along Polk Street and Hoffman Road, to the intersection of Hoffman Road and Oregon 99W in Monmouth, currently operates as the major truck route. This operation is enforced through passive measures; curb extensions in place at the intersection of Main Street and Monmouth Street make truck turning movements difficult, providing a disincentive for trucks to remain on Oregon 51 when traveling through Independence.

Pipeline and Water Transportation
Independence has no major regional pipeline facilities within the UGB. Monmouth has water and sewer mains that traverse Independence. Independence will cooperate with Monmouth regarding their sewer and water line needs.

Independence is located on the Willamette River, which has functioned as transportation facility in the past. Currently, no freight shipping or passenger service occurs on the river, and a limited amount of recreational use of the river occurs. Independence is presently investigating the possibility of recreational use of the river oriented towards water transportation. Independence will continue to investigate the feasibility of river transportation and promote recreational use of the river.
3.7 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEMAND AND MANAGEMENT ELEMENT

Due to its size (<25,000 people) and location (not part of a Metropolitan Planning Organization area), Independence is not required to include a Transportation System Demand and Management Element in this TSP. Independence is supportive of public transportation services and facilities (rideshare facilities and regional demand management programs) that would reduce commuter traffic and promote carpooling programs at large employers.

3.8 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

Table 3-4 summarizes the transportation system improvement recommendations as discussed in the preceding sections. Information in the table includes the project location, a short project description, a listing of who has responsibility for implementing the project, an identified funding source(s), and a planning level cost estimate.

For planning purposes, these projects have been divided into short-term (0-10 year implementation, highlighted in Gray) and long term (11-20 year) priorities. However, the need for, and funding of, many of these projects is tied to the timing of future development. While assumptions about development likely to occur by 2026 and 2042 have been made, an analysis of the timing of the development of individual properties at various locations throughout the City was outside the scope of this TSP update. Therefore, the relative priority of the projects is a rough guide only.

The new East-West Arterial project and associated collector street improvements (projects numbered 6, 8, 9, 10) were determined to be short-term priorities due to the positive impact they have on the rest of the transportation system. One-half of the bicycling and pedestrian projects (project 13) were listed as short-term priorities given the positive impact that filling gaps in the bicycle lane and sidewalk will have on bicyclist and pedestrian safety. Replacing east/west facing stop signs with north/south facing stop signs on “G” Street were prioritized given the low cost of the project and the immediate need.

The improvement recommendations for 2006-2026 are illustrated in Figure 9. Also depicted on Figure 9 is a proposed realignment of a short portion of Monmouth Street (Oregon 51) located within the Monmouth UGB. This realignment of Monmouth Street is advisory only, and was included in the 1998 Independence TSP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Key</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Project Partners</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hoffman Road @ Gun Club Road</td>
<td>Add NB left turn lane</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>100% City</td>
<td>$21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Polk Street @ Main Street</td>
<td>Add EB left turn lane</td>
<td>City / ODOT</td>
<td>100% City</td>
<td>$21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Monmouth Street @ Main Street</td>
<td>Install directional circulator (Main Street to Monmouth – OR 51) to accommodate SB to WB traffic.</td>
<td>City / ODOT</td>
<td>100% City</td>
<td>$42,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2nd Street between B and E Streets</td>
<td>Improve street pavement and trackage conditions, including railroad crossing treatments.</td>
<td>City / ODOT / Railroad</td>
<td>60% Railroad / 40% City</td>
<td>$3,043,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3-4. 2006-2026 Transportation System Capital Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Key</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Project Partners</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gun Club Road Bridge</td>
<td>Replace existing bridge</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>100% City</td>
<td>$806,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 6       | New East/West Arterial Road from Corvallis Road to OR 99W | Build new 2-lane arterial road with bike lanes, sidewalks and limited access. Left turns at major intersections: Phase A: Corvallis to 7th
Phase B: 7th to Talmadge |
|         |                                 | City / ODOT / Railroad                                                              | 50% City / 50% Private | Phase A: $1,319,300
Phase B: $2,852,700 |
| 7       | New East/West Arterial Roadway   | Build grade-separated overcrossing at W&P tracks                                    | City / ODOT / Railroad | 33% City / 33% Private / 33% Railroad | $3,674,600 |
| 8       | New North-South Collector Road between new arterial and Monmouth at 13th Street | Build new 2-lane collector road with bike lanes and sidewalks.                      | City / County / ODOT  | 50% City / 50% Private      | $1,668,000 |
| 9       | New North-South Collector Road between new arterial and Monmouth at 7th Street | Build new 2-lane collector road with bike lanes and sidewalks.                      | City / ODOT            | 50% City / 50% Private      | $394,400      |
| 10      | Talmadge Road between 16th and new arterial | Improve to Collector Road standards                                                  | City / County / ODOT  | 50% City / 50% Private      | $1,947,700 |
| 11      | F Street Bridge                 | Replace existing bridge                                                             | City                   | 100% City                   | $806,200       |
| 12      | G Street between Main Street and 7th Street | Replace E/W facing stop signs with N/S facing stop signs                           | City                   | 100% City                   | $1,600         |
| 13      | Various Locations               | Priority Bicycling and Pedestrian Projects                                          | City                   | 100% City                   | $7,070,000     |

Note 1: Project costs represent construction and engineering costs only and do not include costs of right-of-way.

Note 2: Projects highlighted in gray are considered short-term (0-10 year) projects, all others are considered long-term projects (11-20 year). One half of Project #13, composed of multiple bicycle and pedestrian projects, is considered to be among the short-term priorities.

Note 3: Estimated project funding sources for rail projects provide by City of Independence.

Note 4: NB means northbound, SB means southbound, EB means eastbound, and WB means westbound.

Table 3-5 summarizes the transportation system improvement recommendations as discussed in the roadway element for the period beyond the TSP’s 20-year planning horizon. These improvements would be needed with full build-out of the community, anticipated to occur by 2042. Information in the table includes type or purpose of each project and a short description of the project’s elements.
Table 3-5. Full UGB Build Out (2042) Transportation System Capital Improvements Program*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth Street @ 7th Street</td>
<td>Add northbound left turn lane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street @ Polk Street</td>
<td>install signal **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth Street @ Main Street</td>
<td>install signal **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street @ ‘G’ Street</td>
<td>Add eastbound left turn lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various locations</td>
<td>Install traffic control at new arterial intersections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These projects are in addition to the 2026 With Arterial Transportation System Capital Improvements Program.

**Preliminary Signal Warrants were calculated and met, and are included in Appendix Section B-4.

Portions of the proposed system of new streets, street extensions, and other street-related improvements identified on Table 3-4 and 3-5 are currently located outside of the Independence UGB, and are, therefore, only recommendations. These portions of projects outside the Independence UGB are not planned facilities within this TSP. However, these improvements have been referenced in this TSP as they are logical extensions to the planned roadway network. These projects may be constructed by another jurisdiction, or may be constructed by the City of Independence if they are authorized by a subsequent land use decision such as a UGB expansion or a goal exception. Improvements such as the new east/west arterial and its collector street connections offer significant benefits to the City of Monmouth, as well as to ODOT, the current owner/operator on Oregon 51 along Monmouth Street. These road network enhancements will improve traffic flow on Oregon 51 and Oregon 99W, and will require coordination with Polk County, the City of Monmouth, and ODOT in their design and construction.
4. IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN

4.1 REVIEW AND COORDINATION

This TSP has been reviewed by staff from the City of Independence, Polk County, ODOT and the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to ensure consistency with other plans and compliance with the TPR.

4.2 IMPLEMENTING ORDINANCES

The TPR requires cities to adopt policies and land use regulations for implementing the TSP as provided for in OAR 660-12-045. A review of Independence’s development code and Comprehensive Plan has been completed and changes and additions made. The existing ordinances, and indicated changes and additions, are shown in Appendix C. The implementing ordinances were reviewed and adopted during review and adoption of the TSP.

4.3 FINANCING AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The City of Independence had a shortfall in its transportation investment budget prior to adoption of this TSP, one that has grown with the adoption of the TSP identified projects from Table 3-4. Nonetheless, through the TSP’s public outreach program, residents have indicated a strong desire for improvements to the transportation system to keep pace with growth and maintain a high quality of life. This TSP presents a detailed assessment of available funding and what it will cost to deal with the shortfall, all in 2006 adjusted dollars. Options are provided for increased funding, but project implementation will entail difficult decisions and significant competition for funds.

The $23,669,800 (with $15,290,848 as the estimated City share) in projects identified in Table 3-4 will likely be funded through a combination of City, private, and State funding sources. The City does not currently maintain a capital improvement program, other than tracking and facilitating the projects that will be completed by the private sector and with system development charge (SDC) revenue. While the TSP focuses primarily upon the financing and completion of new capital improvement projects, the City is also charged with the maintenance of existing transportation investments, composed primarily of streets and bridges.

In general, capital and maintenance expenditures are tied to specific revenue types. For example, fees collected for system maintenance cannot be used for capital expenditures without modifying the fee’s enabling legislation. State gas tax revenues are able to be used for capital improvements, operations and maintenance, and bond payments. SDCs cannot be used for operations and maintenance, and street utility fees cannot be used for capital improvements.

Fees assessed to fund existing operations and maintenance costs can be enacted, increased and decreased by the City Council without a vote, provided statutory requirements are met for public comment. If statutory requirements are met for public comment and a public hearing is held, the City Council can also increase or decrease fees collected for capital expenditures, such as SDCs, without voter approval. Table 4-1 presents a summary of anticipated revenues and baseline costs related to the Independence transportation system over the 20-year planning period. For budget estimating purposes, the cost of short-term projects (0-10 year) was divided evenly between years 1-5 and years 6-10, and the cost of long-term priority projects was divided evenly over years 11-15 and years 16-20.
The following portion of the TSP describes methods that the City may use, and in some cases does use, to fund proposed projects. These finance methods may be used individually or in combination to fund projects, or to contribute the City’s share of joint agency transportation projects. Funding methods are categorized as follows:

- **Available Funding Methods.** These funding sources are either currently in use in the City of Independence or could be administered without a change in Independence municipal code.
- **Potential Funding Methods.** These funding sources are not in use in the City of Independence and would require either City Council action or a vote of the people to initiate.

### Available Funding Methods

Existing available funding for local transportation safety or capacity improvements primarily comes from four sources:

- Federal/State Funds (funds and loans distributed through ODOT);
- City of Independence; (through fee assessments or approved bond measures);
Private property owners and developers (through special assessment districts or advanced financing arrangements); and
The railroad.

Large complex capital improvements such as the proposed new east/west arterial road often involve some combination of these four funding sources to leverage federal/state funding with local match requirements. A brief description of existing state/federal and local funding sources is provided below.

State and Federal Funding

Federal Surface Transportation Program/State Highway Funding

As the recipient and distributor of Federal Highway Administration funding, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) is the primary distributor of federal and state transportation funding. ODOT allocates funding through updates to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

Independence is included within Region 2 of the ODOT STIP. Projects selected for inclusion in the STIP must be consistent with the goals and objectives of the Oregon Transportation Plan, and its modal plans for highways, public transportation, freight and passenger rail, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Eligible projects are usually selected from a list of prioritized improvements included in the Independence Transportation System Plan (TSP) and other related refinement plans or studies. Input and testimony from the general public, the local Area Commission on Transportation, and local government representatives play an important role in getting specific projects on the STIP.

The current 2006-2009 STIP includes assumptions for ongoing federal funding that is authorized by the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Efficiency Act: A Legacy for Users (known as SAFETEA-LU) which was authorized by the U.S. Congress in and signed into law by President Bush in August 2005.

ODOT has assumed that existing federal and state transportation funds will remain relatively constant over the 2005-2015 time period. However, ODOT assumes project costs will be subject to escalation to reflects rising land/right-of-way (ROW) costs, labor rates, and material costs (such as oil and steel). The combined result of fixed federal/state funding allocations and annual project cost escalation means fewer improvements can be implemented over time.

It should be noted that the state has begun to require contributions from local jurisdictions for some projects when development has significant traffic impacts. An example of this are improvements on U.S. Highway 101 near Lincoln City, and Highway 18 near Valley Junction. Cost sharing may become more common if federal funds decrease in the future. It is expected that local contribution to or cost sharing for projects such as interchanges and bridges will continue.

Community Development Block Grants

The Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development administers the Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG). Funds are allocated based on city size and demographics such as income levels and housing standards. In some areas, street reconstruction projects in older neighborhoods have been funded by this program. Many other cities use these funds to provide or improve the sidewalk system in older neighborhoods, particularly in the vicinity of schools.
State Motor Vehicle Fund
The State of Oregon collects gas taxes, vehicle registration fees, overweight/overheight fines and weight/mile taxes and distributes a portion of these revenues to counties and cities using an allocation formula. The State distributes a local share to cities based on a per capita rate. Revenues vary from year to year as the allocation formula can vary. Funds can be used for capital improvements or maintenance.

Special Public Works Funds (SPWF Lottery Program)
The Special Public Works Fund provides grants and loans for public works that support private projects resulting in creation or retention of permanent jobs. Loans are emphasized in this program and are available for amounts up to $11,000,000 for a maximum of 25 years unless the project life is shorter. The maximum grant amount is $500,000 and may not exceed 85 percent of the project cost. Loans are typically available at below market rates.

Oregon Transportation Infrastructure Bank
The OTIB is a statewide revolving fund available to local governments to provide long-term (up to 30-years) low interest loans designed to promote innovative transportation funding solutions. Project must be Federal-Aid eligible (this may require re-designation of access road to achieve appropriate status). Eligible costs include engineering, environmental permitting, right-of-way, construction and project management. Applications are accepted on an ongoing basis.

Oregon Immediate Opportunity Fund
The Immediate Opportunity Fund program, managed by ODOT and the Oregon Department of Economic and Community Development (OECDDD), provides a maximum of $500,000 for public road work associated with an economic development related project of regional significance, provided the project creates primary employment. Additionally, although lesser shares will be considered, the grantee should provide an equal local match.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Grant Program
Grant funds for highways, county roads and local streets where improvements are needed for bicycle and pedestrians and/or bicyclists. Eligible project types include: ADA upgrades; completing short sections of missing sidewalks or bike lanes; street crossing improvements; intersection improvements; and minor widening for bike lanes or shoulders. Grant awards up to $200,000 based on past trends. Applications are taken periodically.

Local Funding
System Development Charges
This method collects an equitable share from new developments to help pay for the capital costs of improvements needed to support growth. Cities that use this System Development Charge (SDC) method are required (ORS 223.297) to complete a plan that lists the capital improvements that can be funded by SDCs and the estimated timing and cost for each improvement. SDCs are limited to those capital improvements that will be or were required to increase capacity because of increased demand due to current or expected development. This method is commonly acceptable to the public because new residents, rather than current residents, pay for the improvements. The method is less acceptable to developers because it is argued that it makes new development unaffordable. Revenues provided by this method are variable because they are linked to the amount of new development. The City of Independence currently has an SDC in place, which raised $252,139 in funds during the 2005-2006 fiscal year.
**Full/Partial Private Contributions**
Under this method the developer builds the road to City standards and then deeds the road to the City as a condition of development.

**Potential Funding Methods**

**City Gas Tax**
The City could levy a per gallon tax on fuel sold in Independence. Typical taxes range from $0.01 to 0.03 per gallon and Woodburn, Tillamook, and The Dalles are examples of a communities with such a tax. The City could contract with the State Fuel Tax Branch to collect and administer the tax.

**Local Vehicle Registration Fee**
This would operate similarly to the existing statewide system. Although the method has been discussed, no City or county governments have implemented such a program.

**Local Property Tax Levies/Street Bonds**
This method is typically used to fund road improvements that will benefit an entire community. General obligation bonds are supported by a property tax levy on assessed value of property. This method requires voter approval of bond issues and is not usually a viable of funding for single projects that cost less than $2,000,000.

**Local Improvement Districts**
This method assesses property owners in an area where capital improvements, such as road and utility projects, are required. Local Improvement Districts (LIDs) have typically been applied in new or developing industrial areas, but could also be used to fund improvements in developed areas through increases in property taxes or other assessments. LIDs can be initiated by property owners or the City, and the collected funds are commonly used to repay debt on bonds incurred to undertake the infrastructure improvements. These bonds are guaranteed by payments from the affected properties through a property lien that sunsets when the LID share is paid off. LIDs typically require at least 51 percent of the affected properties to approve the LID. Costs can be determined based on road frontage or square footage. LIDs are most suitable for individual local street improvement projects.

**Reimbursement District or Zone of Benefit District**
Public or private entities that build road systems can be compensated by future property owners at a proportional rate, as development occurs. Usually limited to private construction of roads, this mechanism can be useful for public/private developments. Implementation of these districts requires local legislative action.

**Road User, or Street Utility, Fees**
This method would charge City residents and nonresidential users a monthly or yearly fee for use of the City road system, similar to water and sewer utility fees. User fees go to maintenance activities and have been instituted in a number of communities. The City of Medford’s TSP, for example, recommends that the Medford user fee generate over $100 million over the 20-year life of the plan.
Recommended Funding for TSP Improvements

To implement the TSP, it is estimated that the City will need to raise an additional $13,075,259 in local revenue and/or grants over the 20-year planning horizon, an annual budget gap of more than $650,000. This is an ambitious goal, one that can best be achieved by raising the existing SDC fees, creating a road user fee, and vigorously pursuing State and Federal grant sources. Ensuring the TSP identified projects are built also requires vigilance on the part of the City, ensuring that, to the greatest extent allowable by law, private developers (and the railroad, as appropriate) fully participate in project funding.